Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

1. Species Information

1.1	Member State	Hungary
1.2.2	Natura 2000 code	A320
1.3	Species name	Ficedula parva
1.3.1	Sub-specific population	
1.4	Alternative species name	
1.5	Common name	kis légykapó
1.6	Season	Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period	2008-2012	
2.2 Population size	a)unit number of pairs (p) b)minimum 60 c)maximum 150	
2.3 Type of estimate	The best available single figure or range (Best estimate)	
2.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.5 Quality	Poor (1)	
2.6 Sources	National Park Directorates databases. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.	
2.8 Additional information		

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Period	1999-2012
3.1.2 Trend direction	Decrease (-)
3.1.3 Magnitude	a)Min 40 b)Max 50
3.1.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
3.1.5 Quality	Moderate (2)
3.1.6 Sources	National Park Directorates databases. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database. MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. P. 278.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Period	1980-2012
3.2.2 Trend direction	Decrease (-)
3.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min 70 b)Max 80
3.2.4 Method used	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
3.2.5 Quality	Poor (1)
3.2.6 Sources	MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. P. 278. Magyar, G., Hadarics, T., Waliczky, Z., Schmidt, A., Nagy, T. & Bankovics, A. (1998): Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyarország madarinak névjegyzéke. KTM Természetvédelmi Hivatal Madártani Intézete – Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület – Winter Fair, Budapest – Szeged. P. 202. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database

3.3 Additional information

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

4. Breeding distribution map and range size

4.1 Year or period	2000-2012
4.2 Sensitive species	No
4.3 Distribution map	Yes
4.4 Additional distribution map	No
4.5 Range map	Yes
4.6 Range surface area	4185
4.7 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
4.8 Quality	Good (3)
4.9 Sources	National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database. and Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.
4.11 Additional information	The distribution and range map made by using breeding probability data.

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Period	2000-2012
5.1.2 Trend direction	Unknown (x)
3.1.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
5.1.4 Method used	Absent data (0)
5.1.5 Quality	Poor (1)
5.1.6 Sources	

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Period	1980-2012
5.2.2 Trend direction	Unknown (x)
5.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min b)Max
5.2.4 Method used	Absent data (0)
5.2.5 Quality	Poor (1)
5.2.6 Sources	
5.3 Additional information	

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.1 Type of plan	No Plan (NA)
6.2 National plan adopted?	N/A
6.3 Measures linked to SAP/MP/BMS	
6.4 Further Information	

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

7. Main pressures and threats

Pressure	impact	quality	location	sources
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (forestry) (B04)	low importance (L)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
droughts and less precipitations (M01.02)	medium importance (M)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés
desynchronisation of processes (M02.02)	low importance (L)	Poor (1)	Inside the Member State (4)	Szakértői becslés

8. SPA coverage and conservation measures

8.1 Population inside the SPA network

8.1.1 Population size	a)unit	number of pairs (p)	b)minimum	40	c)maximum	113

8.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
8.1.3 Short-term trend of population	Decrease (-)

8.2 Conservation Measures

8.2.1 Measure	8.2.2 Type	8.2.3 Ranking	8.2.4 Location	8.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Legal Administrative One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Enchance
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal One-off	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal One-off	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Enchance

Térképmelléklet a madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez 2013.

kis légykapó *(Ficedula parva)*

jelölő faj (I. melléklet)

Forrás: Vidékfejlesztési Minisztérium Természetmegőrzési Főosztály

Jelmagyarázat

Előfordulás (Distribution)

Elterjedés (Range)

0   25   50 Kilométer