Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

NATIONAL LEVEL

1. General information

1.1 Member State	**HU**
1.2 Habitat code	**6210 - Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substra**

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.3 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.4 Additional maps	No

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs	**Pannonian (PAN)**
3.2 Sources of information	A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer 2013-2018 közt végzett felméréseinek jelentései Natura 2000 területek élőhelytérképezése Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót. Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. ProVértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár, 955 pp. Molnár, Zs., M. Biró, J. Bölöni & F. Horváth (2008): Distribution of the (semi-)natural habitats in Hungary I.: Marshes and grasslands, Acta Botanica Hungarica 50 (Suppl): 59-105. Illyés E. & Bölöni J. (szerk.) (2007): Lejtősztyepek, löszgyepek és erdőssztyeprétek Magyarországon. - MTA ÖBKI, Budapest

4. Range

4.1 Surface area	38031	
4.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
4.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
4.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
4.6 Long-term trend Period		
4.7 Long-term trend Direction		
4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) MInimum	b) Maximum
4.9 Long-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
4.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)	
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown	Yes
	d) Method	
4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

4.12 Additional information

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period	2013-2018		
5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum 70	b) Maximum 100	c) Best single value
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate		
5.4 Surface area Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data		
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018		
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data		
5.9 Long-term trend Period			
5.10 Long-term trend Direction			
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used			
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²)		
	b) Operator	More than (>)	
	c) Unknown	Yes	
	d) Method		
5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
5.15 Additional information			

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum 35	Maximum 65
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum 20	Maximum 20
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum 15	Maximum 15
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data		
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072017		
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Stable (0)		
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data		
6.6 Typical species	Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period?	No	
6.7 Typical species Method used			
6.8 Additional information			

7. Main pressures and threats

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	H
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	H
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	H

Threat	Ranking
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	H
Intensive grazing or overgrazing by livestock (A09)	H
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	H
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	H

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information: IAS union concern : Asclepias syriaca L.;

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? Yes

b) Indicate the status of measures: Measures identified and taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken: Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

8.3 Location of the measures taken: Both inside and outside Natura 2000

8.4 Response to the measures: Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

- Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes (CL01)
- Management, control or eradication of established invasive alien species of Union concern (CI02)
- Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species (CI03)
- Management of problematic native species (CI05)
- Reinstate appropriate agricultural practices to address abandonment, including mowing, grazing, burning or equivalent measures (CA04)
- Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities (CA05)

8.6 Additional information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Area	Poor
	c) Structure and functions	Poor
9.2 Additional information		

10. Conclusions

10.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
10.2. Area	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status No change The change is mainly due to: b) Overall trend in conservation status No change The change is mainly due to:
10.8 Additional information	

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)	a) Minimum	55
	b) Maximum	80
	c) Best single value	
11.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction	Stable (0)	
11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data	
11.6 Additional information		

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends	
12.2 Other relevant information	

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

Szálkaperjés-rozsnokos xero-mezofil gyepek

6210



Forrás: Agrárminisztérium,
Természetmegőrzési Főosztály