

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	4091
0.2.2 Species name	Crambe tataria
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	buglyos tátorján

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2008-2011
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	500
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 2500 max 2700
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems A terepi tapasztalatok alapján a faj egyedszáma erősen fluktuál.
2.4.4 Year or period	2008-2011
2.4.5 Method – population size	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	operator	more than (>)
		unknown	No
	method		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data		

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	0,4
2.5.2 Year or period	2008-2011
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	veszélyeztetettség, élőhely-javító intézkedések, inváziós fajok, szukcesszió
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	0,4
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive grazing (A04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures mainly based on expert judgement and other data (2)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Erosion (K01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
continuous urbanisation (E01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of individuals (i)
min 1800 max 2000

3.1.2 Method used Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Long term
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Enhance
Manage landscape features (6.4)	One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Enhance
Other species management measures (7.0)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Long term

