

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	1725
0.2.2 Species name	Lindernia procumbens
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	heverő iszapfű

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	Mészáros A., Simon P. (2009): Adatok Veszprém Megye flórájához / Floristical data from Veszprém County (W-Hungary). <i>Kitaibelia</i> , XIV. évfolyam, 1.szám, Vol. 14, No. 1., 75 o. Takács A., Zsólyomi T. (2011): Adatok a Taktaköz flórájának ismeretéhez. – <i>Kitaibelia</i> 15 (1-2): 25-34. A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	25546
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 10000 max 100000000
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems	Nehéz az egyedszám becslése. Az ismert lelőhelyeken az állományok évekig lappanganak, majd kedvező években előjönnek. Míg más helyszíneken más évek a kedvezőek. Így nehezen összesíthetők az állományadatok, hiszen alternálva jelenhetnek meg az állományok. Akár egy teljes jelentési ciklusban is lappangó maradhat a faj egyes élőhelyeken. Az újonnan létrejövő élőhelyek megtalálása pedig esetleges.
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval	
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.10 Long-term trend period		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval	
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator approximately equal to (≈) unknown No method	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	150
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	figyelembe vett körülmények: vízborítás, területhasználat
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	150
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
dispersed habitation (E01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Drying out (K01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Flooding modifications (J02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Fertilisation (A08)	medium importance (M)	N/A
dispersed habitation (E01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
infilling of ditches, dykes, ponds, pools, marshes or pits (J02.01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Az ismert lelőhelyeken az állományok évekig lappanganak, majd kedvező években előjönnek. Míg más helyszíneken más évek a kedvezőek. Így nehezen összesíthetők az adatok, hiszen alternálva jelenhetnek meg az állományok. A száraz időszakokban a tavak és folyók partján, zátonyokon vannak nagyobb állományok, csapadékos években viszont ezekről hiányzik, inkább a belvizekben jelenik meg.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.2. Population	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.3. Habitat	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.4. Future prospects	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	N/A	
	min		max
3.1.2 Method used	N/A		
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures

