NATIONAL LEVEL		
1. General information		
1.1 Member State	ни	
1.2 Species code	6964	
1.3 Species scientific name	Barbus meridionalis all others	
1.4 Alternative species scientific name		
1.5 Common name (in national language)	Petényi-márna	

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	 b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation 	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs	Pannonian (PAN)
4.2 Sources of information	Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer 2013-2018 közt végzett felméréseinek jelentései

Antal L., László B., P. Kotlík, Mozsár A., Czeglédi I., Oldal M., Kemenesi G., Jakab F., Nagy S. A. (2016): A Kárpát-medence új halfaja: a Bihari márna (Barbus biharicus). – Pisces Hungarici, 10: 5-14.

b) Maximum

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

5. Range

- 5.1 Surface area 5530
- 5.2 Short-term trend Period2007-2018
- 5.3 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)
- 5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude
- 5.5 Short-term trend Method used
- 5.6 Long-term trend Period

a) Minimum

5.7 Long-term trend Direction	
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
5.9 Long-term trend Method used	
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km ²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown d) Method
5.11 Change and reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
in surface area of range	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
5.12 Additional information	including B. carpathicus and B. petenyi (actual name B. biharicus)
6. Population	
6.1 Year or period	2013-2018
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	 a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) b) Minimum c) Maximum
	d) Best single value 258
6.3 Type of estimate	
6.3 Type of estimate6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	d) Best single value 258
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting	 d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	 d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)6.5 Type of estimate	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value
 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used 	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used 6.7 Short-term trend Period 	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2007-2018
 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used 6.7 Short-term trend Period 6.8 Short-term trend Direction 	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2007-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum
 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used 6.7 Short-term trend Period 6.8 Short-term trend Direction 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude 	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2007-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) 6.5 Type of estimate 6.6 Population size Method used 6.7 Short-term trend Period 6.8 Short-term trend Direction 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude 6.10 Short-term trend Method used 	d) Best single value 258 Minimum a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2007-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval

2020.07.16. 11:02:01

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
6.14 Long-term trend Method used	
6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)	 a) Population size b) Operator c) Unknown d) Method
6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?	Yes
	b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?	
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate	
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Uncertain (u)	
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amo	unt of data
7.6 Long-term trend Period		
7.7 Long-term trend Direction		
7.8 Long-term trend Method used		
7.9 Additional information		

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats	
Pressure	Ranking
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Μ

Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)		Н	
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)		Н	
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)		Μ	
Threat		Ranking	
Droughts and decreases in precipitatio change (NO2)	n due to climate	Μ	
Management of fishing stocks and gam	ne (G08)	Н	
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)		Н	
Mixed source pollution to surface and a and terrestrial) (J01)	ground waters (limnic	Μ	
8.2 Sources of information			
8.3 Additional information			
9. Conservation measures			
9.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures nee	ded?	Yes
	b) Indicate the statu	s of measures	Measures identified, but none yet taken
9.2 Main purpose of the measures			

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)

Reducing the impact of (re-) stocking for fishing and hunting, of artificial feeding and predator control (CG03)

Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices (CB05)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range

a) Range Good b) Population Good c) Habitat of the species Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
11.2. Population	Favourable (FV)
11.3. Habitat for the species	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
11.7 Change and reasons for change	a) Overall assessment of conservation status
in conservation status and	No change
conservation status trend	The change is mainly due to:
	b) Overall trend in conservation status
	No change
	The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 179
12.2 Type of estimate	Minimum
12.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
12.6 Additional information	

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

- 13.2 Trans-boundary assessment
- 13.3 Other relevant Information

