NATIONAL LEVEL			
1. General information			
1.1 Member State	HU		
1.2 Species code	1353		
1.3 Species scientific name	Canis aureus		
1.4 Alternative species scientific name			
1.5 Common name (in national language)	aranysakál		

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	Yes			
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?	 a) regulations regarding access to property b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens 			
			d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
				 e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas
		f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No	
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No		
	h) other measures	No		

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish) a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)	1813	2535	3267	4225	5879	
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	Yes

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

2018 year calculation will only be avaiable through the second half of 2019.

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs	Pannonian (PAN)		
4.2 Sources of information	National Game Management Database (www.ova.info.hu) unpublished data Csányi, S. (szerk.) 2018. Vadgazdálkodási Adattár - 2017/2018. vadászati év. Országos Vadgazdálkodási Adattár, Gödöllő, 52 pp. Kurys A., Lanszki J., Heltai M., Szabó L., Ács K. (2015): Az aranysakál "jelenség" és ami mögötte van: az elsőnemzetközi sakál-szimpózium tapasztalatai alapján. Acta Agraria Kaposváriensis (2015) Vol 19 No 1, 46-64		
5. Range			
5.1 Surface area	90285		
5.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018		
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)		
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate		
5.6 Long-term trend Period			
5.7 Long-term trend Direction			
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	
5.9 Long-term trend Method used			
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)		
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)	

	c) Unknown d) Method		
5.11 Change and reason for change	Genuine		
in surface area of range	The change is mainly due to: Genuine change		
5.12 Additional information	The Hungarian population is in an expansion phase. The distribution of the species reached all part of Hungary just nowdays.		
6. Population			
6.1 Year or period	2013-2018		
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of individuals (i) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 18887		
6.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate		
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value		
6.5 Type of estimate			
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data		
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018		
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)		
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval		
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate		
6.11 Long-term trend Period			
6.12 Long-term trend Direction			
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval		
6.14 Long-term trend Method used			
6.15 Favourable referencepopulation (using the unit in 6.2 or6.4)	a) Population size b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown d) Method		
6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	Genuine Use of different method		

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

6.17 Additional information	There is no country wide, specific survey on this species, so we used the opinion of the hunters from the National Game Management Database.		
7. Habitat for the species			
7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?	Yes	
	b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?		
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amou	int of data	
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018		
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)		
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate		
7.6 Long-term trend Period			
7.7 Long-term trend Direction			
7.8 Long-term trend Method used			
7.9 Additional information			

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking		
No pressures (Xxp)			
Threat	Ranking		
No threats (Xxt)			
8.2 Sources of information			
8.3 Additional information	The Hungarian population is in an expansion phase now. Threats are not		

strong enough to control this expansion in country level so none of the threats was reached the medium importance in population level.

9. Conservation measures		
9.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed? b) Indicate the status of measures	Νο
9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken		
9.3 Location of the measures taken		
9.4 Response to the measures		
9.5 List of main conservation measures		

9.6 Additional information

a) Range b) Population c) Habitat of the species	Good Good	
Favourable (FV)		
Improving (+)		
a) Overall assessment of conservation status		
No change		
The change is mainly due to:		
b) Overall trend in conservation status Use of different method		
	 a) Range b) Population c) Habitat of the species Favourable (FV) Favourable (FV) Favourable (FV) Favourable (FV) Favourable (FV) Favourable (FV) Improving (+) a) Overall assessment of No change The change is mainly due b) Overall trend in conserved Use of different method The change is mainly due 	

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

- 12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)
- 12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

