NATIONAL LEVEL			
1. General information			
1.1 Member State	ни		
1.2 Species code	4057		
1.3 Species scientific name	Chilostoma banaticum		
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	Drobacia banatica		
1.5 Common name (in national language)	bánáti csiga		
2 84000			

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to propertyb) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking	No

specimens or of quotas

f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens

g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species

h) other measures

No

2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 1 of 6

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5	year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

- 3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used
- 3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs Pannonian (PAN)

4.2 Sources of information

Deli Tamás - Danyik Tibor (szerk.) (2015): A Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park természeti értékei II. A Körös-Maros nemzeti Park Állatvilága - Gerinctelenek -**KMNPI**

Deli Tamás (2017): A Körösközi erdők (HUKM20011) Natura 2000 gerinctelen állatfajainak felmérése II. Mályvádi-erdő - KMNPI, Kutatási jelentés, kézirat Domokos et al (2019): DISTRIBUTION OF DROBACIA BANATICA (ROSSMÄSLER, 1838) OVER TIME AND SPACE (GASTROPODA: STYLOMMATOPHORA: HELICIDAE) Brukenthal. Acta Musei, XIII. 3, 2018

5. Range

5.1 Surface area 1832,3

5.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude b) Maximum a) Minimum

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range a) Area (km²)

> 2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 2 of 6

b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)

- c) Unknown
- d) Method

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period 2013-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit) a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 131

6.3 Type of estimate Minimum

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Uncertain (u)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction 6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size

b) Operator More than (>)

c) Unknown

d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 3 of 6

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

No

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

Unknown

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Decreasing (-)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

When answering the question of point 7.1, there is a serious problem of interpretation and evaluation of the combined assessment of habitat size and quality

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	Н
Tillage practices in forestry and other soil management practices in forestry (B17)	Н
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	Н
Problematic native species (I04)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (LO1)	M
Threat	Ranking
Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	Н
Tillage practices in forestry and other soil management practices in forestry (B17)	Н
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	Н

2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 4 of 6

Problematic native species (I04)

Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)

M

M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures a) Are measures needed?

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures needed but cannot be identified

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information Lack of proper response, the answer C was chosen. But we would consider it

necessary to use the "Measures required but none yet identified".

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range Good

b) Population Unknown
c) Habitat of the species Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

Conservation Status

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.3. Habitat for the species Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.4. Future prospects Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.5 Overall assessment of Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation

Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and

conservation status trend

No change

Unknown (x)

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Improved knowledge/more accurate data
Use of different method

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 5 of 6

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum

Minimum

d) Best single value 118

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

Uncertain (u)

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.26. 10:31:32 Page 6 of 6

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019 Bánáti csiga (Chilostoma banaticum) II. és IV. melléklet Jelmagyarázat Előfordulás (Distribution) Forrás: Agrárminisztérium, 50 Kilometers Természetmegőrzési Főosztály