NATIONAL LEVEL		
1. General information		
1.1 Member State	ни	
1.2 Species code	1363	
1.3 Species scientific name	Felis silvestris	
1.4 Alternative species scientific name		
1.5 Common name (in national language)	vadmacska	
2 Mans		

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
14 have been taken?	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No

account of the conservation of such populations

e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas

f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens

g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species

h) other measures

No

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 1 of 6

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5	year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

- 3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used
- 3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs Pannonian (PAN)

4.2 Sources of information

Fehér P., Frank K., Szepesi K., Heltai B., Barta E., Újváry D., Gombkötő P., Szemethy L. & Stéger V. (2017): A hazai macskafélék (Felidae) genetikai monitorozási módszerének fejlesztése. A Magyar Biológiai Társaság XXX. Vándorgyűlése, Budapest, 2017.02.17-18. Absztrakt-kötet pp. 45-46. ISBN 978-963-87343-8-9

5. Range

5.1 Surface area 16715

5.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction Uncertain (u)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude b) Maximum a) Minimum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used 5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

a) Area (km²)

b) Operator

Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknown d) Method

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 2 of 6

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period 2013-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 582

6.3 Type of estimate

Minimum

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Uncertain (u)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

0.12 Long term trend birection

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size

b) Operator

Much more than (>>)

c) Unknown

d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

6.17 Additional information

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 3 of 6

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Yes

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	Н
Forest management reducing old growth forests (B15)	Н
Reduced fecundity / genetic depression (e.g. inbreeding or endogamy) (L05)	Н
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Н
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	M
Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	M
Illegal shooting/killing (G10)	M
Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities) (G12)	M
Problematic native species (I04)	M
Threat	Ranking
Clear-cutting, removal of all trees (B09)	Н
Forest management reducing old growth forests (B15)	Н
Reduced fecundity / genetic depression (e.g. inbreeding or endogamy) (L05)	Н
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Н
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	M

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 4 of 6

Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	M
Illegal shooting/killing (G10)	M
Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities) (G12)	M
Problematic native species (IO4)	M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Poor

b) Population Bad

c) Habitat of the species Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unknown (x)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 5 of 6

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

11.8 Additional information

Unknown level of hybridization with house cat causes high level of uncertainty on the field data collection.

Real Overall trend in Conservation Status is deteriorating but it does not fit to the matrix (uncertain is not equal to unknown)

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

- 12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)
- 12.2 Type of estimate
- 12.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
- 12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
- 12.6 Additional information

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.27. 9:13:04 Page 6 of 6

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

