NATIONAL LEVEL				
1. General information				
1.1 Member State	ни			
1.2 Species code	1358			
1.3 Species scientific name	Mustela putorius			
1.4 Alternative species scientific name				
1.5 Common name (in national language)	közönséges (házi) görény			

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to	Annex V Species (Art. 14)	
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	Yes	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to propertyb) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	
		d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 1 of 6

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period						
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6	
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)	288	401	390	405	334		
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)							
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

3.5. Additional information

2018 year calculation will only be avaiable through the second half of 2019.

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Pannonian (PAN)

4.2 Sources of information

National Game Management Database (http://www.ova.info.hu/) unpublished data

Csányi, S. (szerk.) 2018. Vadgazdálkodási Adattár - 2017/2018. vadászati év. Országos Vadgazdálkodási Adattár, Gödöllő, 52 pp.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area5.2 Short-term trend Period

93011

2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used

,

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range

a) Area (km²)

b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknown

d) Method

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 2 of 6

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

No change

The change is mainly due to:

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period 2013-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 26135

6.3 Type of estimate

Best estimate

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

6.11 Long-term trend Period

7.11 Long term trend remod

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size

b) Operator

Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknown

d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

6.17 Additional information

There is no country wide, specific survey on this species, so we used the opinion of the hunters from the National Game Management Database

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 3 of 6

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Yes

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Ranking
M
M
Ranking
M
М

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information E01 means occasional road kills

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 4 of 6

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good

b) Population Good

c) Habitat of the species Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range

11.2. Population

11.3. Habitat for the species

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Stable (=)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 5 of 6

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.27. 11:15:56 Page 6 of 6

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

