NATIONAL LEVEL			
1. General information			
1.1 Member State	HU		
1.2 Species code	4052		
1.3 Species scientific name	Odontopodisma rubripes		
1.4 Alternative species scientific name			
1.5 Common name (in national language)	vöröslábú hegyisáska		
2 Mana			

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	 e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas 	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ Season/ Season/ Season/ Season/ Season/ year 1 year 2 year 3 year 4 year 5 year 6					
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs	Pannonian (PAN)
4.2 Sources of information	A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer 2013-2018 közti felméréseinek jelentései Kenyeres, Z. (2016) Adatok az Alföld egyenesszárnyú (Orthoptera) faunájához. FOLIA HISTORICO-NATURALIA MUSEI MATRAENSIS. 40: 45–57 Nagy, A., Batiz, Z., Szanyi, Sz. (2015) Orthoptera fauna of the Hungarian part of the Bereg Plain (Northeast Hungary). Bul. inf. Soc. lepid. rom., 26: 64-80, 2015 ISSN 1842 -2144 Nagy A. & Rácz I.A. (2014): Magyar tarsza, Stys-tarsza, Erdélyi avarszöcske, Álolaszsáska, Vöröslábú hegyisáska, Eurázsiai rétisáska. In: Haraszthy L. (szerk.): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Csákvár: Pro Vértes Természetvédelmi Közalapítvány, 2014. pp. 190-204.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area	2143,65	
5.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018	
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)	
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or	r a statistically robust estimate
5.6 Long-term trend Period		
5.7 Long-term trend Direction		
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum

5.9 Long-term trend Method used	
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km ²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown d) Method
5.11 Change and reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
in surface area of range	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
5.12 Additional information	
6. Population	
6.1 Year or period	2013-2018
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)
······································	b) Minimum
	c) Maximum
	d) Best single value 174
6.3 Type of estimate	Minimum
6.4 Additional population size (using	a) Unit
population unit other than reporting	b) Minimum
unit)	c) Maximum
	d) Best single value
6.5 Type of estimate	
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.11 Long-term trend Period	
6.12 Long-term trend Direction	
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum
6.14 Long-term trend Method used	c) Confidence interval
6.15 Favourable reference	a) Reputation size
population (using the unit in 6.2 or	a) Population size b) Operator More than (>)
6.4)	c) Unknown
	d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	
	The change is mainly due to:	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

occupied habitat	sufficient (for long-term survival)?
	b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.6 Long-term trend Period	
7.7 Long-term trend Direction	
7.8 Long-term trend Method used	
7.9 Additional information	

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	Н
Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33)	Η
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)	Μ
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Μ
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	Μ
Threat	Ranking
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (L02)	Н

Modification of hydrological flow or physical alteration of water bodies for agriculture (excluding development and operation of dams) (A33) Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)		Н	
		Н	
Droughts and decreases in precipitation change (N02)	n due to climate	Н	
8.2 Sources of information			
8.3 Additional information			
9. Conservation measures			
9.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures nee	ded?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status	of measures	Measures identified, but none yet taken
9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken			
9.3 Location of the measures taken			
9.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)		
9.5 List of main conservation measures			
Maintain existing extensive agricultural		•	
Manage drainage and irrigation operati	one and infrastructures	in agriculture	(CA15)

Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture (CA15)

Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes (CL01)

Reduce impact of other specific human actions (CH03)

Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants (CG02)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Good
	b) Population	Poor
	c) Habitat of the species	Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range	Favourable (FV)
11.2. Population	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.3. Habitat for the species	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable (=)
11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	 a) Overall assessment of conservation status No change The change is mainly due to: b) Overall trend in conservation status Improved knowledge/more accurate data The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
11.8 Additional information	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value	number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) 96
12.2 Type of estimate	Minimum	
12.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extr	apolation from a limited amount of data
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)	
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	Based mainly on extr	apolation from a limited amount of data

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

