| NATIONAL LEVEL | | |
|---|------------------|--|
| 1. General information | | |
| 1.1 Member State | HU | |
| 1.2 Species code | 1197 | |
| 1.3 Species scientific name | Pelobates fuscus | |
| 1.4 Alternative species scientific name | | |
| 1.5 Common name (in national language) | barna ásóbéka | |
| | | |

2. Maps

| 2.1 Sensitive species | No |
|----------------------------------|---|
| 2.2 Year or period | 2013-2018 |
| 2.3 Distribution map | Yes |
| 2.4 Distribution map Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 2.5 Additional maps | No |

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

| 3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited? | No | |
|---|---|----|
| 3.2 Which of the measures in Art. | a) regulations regarding access to property | No |
| 14 have been taken? | b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation | No |
| | c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens | No |
| | d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations | No |
| | e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas | No |
| | f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens | No |
| | g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species | No |
| | h) other measures | No |

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

| 2 | | l n | 14 |
|-----|---|-----|----|
| d l | | | |
| ~ | , | | |

| b) Statistics/ quantity taken | Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Season/ year 1 | Season/ year 2 | Season/ year 3 | Season/ year 4 | Season/ year 5 | Season/ year 6 |
| Min. (raw, ie. not rounded) | | | | | | |
| Max. (raw, ie. not rounded) | | | | | | |
| Unknown | No | No | No | No | No | No |

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

| 4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs | Pannonian (PAN) |
|---|---|
| 4.2 Sources of information | Balázs Vági, Tibor Kovács, Raluca Bancila, Tibor Hartel, Brandon P. Anthony (2013): A landscape-level study on the breeding site characteristics often amphibian species in Central Europe. Amphibia-Reptilia (34) pp.: 63-73. |
| | Dankovics, R. (2015): A kisalföldi meszes homokpuszta kétéltű és hüllő faunája. Rence 1.: 199-208. |
| | Mester Béla, Szabolcs Márton, Szalai Mónika, Tóth Mihály, Mérő Thomas Oliver, Szepesváry Csaba, Polyák László, Puky Miklós és Lengyel Szabolcs (2017): Az Egyek-pusztakócsi mocsarak (Hortobágyi Nemzeti Park) kétéltűfaunája. Természetvédelmi Közlemények 23, pp. 50–67. |
| | Mester, Béla (2017) A zeleméri Mély-völgy herpetofaunája és védelme. CALANDRELLA, 17-18. pp. 64-69. |
| | Péntek Attila László, Halpern Bálint és Vörös Judit (2018): A turjánvidék herpetofaunája. Természetvédelem és kutatás a Turjánvidék északi részén. Rosalia (10) pp. 893–914. |
| | https://herpterkep.mme.hu/ |
| | A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-Monitorozó Rendszer Keretében 2013-2018 Között Végzett Felmérések Kutatási Jelentései(Monitoring Reports (2013-2018) Of Hungarian Biodiversity Monitoring System |

5. Range

| 5.1 Surface area | 93011 | |
|--|------------------|---|
| 5.2 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 | |
| 5.3 Short-term trend Direction | Stable (0) | |
| 5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum |
| 5.5 Short-term trend Method used | Based mainly on | extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 5.6 Long-term trend Period | | |
| 5.7 Long-term trend Direction | | |
| 5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum |
| 5.9 Long-term trend Method used | | |
| 5.10 Favourable reference range | a) Area (km²) | |
| | b) Operator | Approximately equal to (\approx) |
| | c) Unknown | |
| | d) Method | |
| 5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range | No change | |
| | The change is ma | inly due to: |

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

| 6.1 Year or period | 2013-2018 | |
|--|---|--|
| 6.2 Population size (in reporting unit) | a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value | number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) 1239 |
| 6.3 Type of estimate | Minimum | |
| 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit) | a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value | |
| 6.5 Type of estimate | | |
| 6.6 Population size Method used | Based mainly on ext | rapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 6.7 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 | |
| 6.8 Short-term trend Direction | Stable (0) | |
| 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interva | al |
| 6.10 Short-term trend Method used | Based mainly on exp | ert opinion with very limited data |

| 6.11 Long-term trend Period | |
|---|--|
| 6.12 Long-term trend Direction | |
| 6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval |
| 6.14 Long-term trend Method used | |
| 6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4) | a) Population size b) Operator c) Unknown d) Method |
| 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size | Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method The change is mainly due to: Use of different method |

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

| 7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat | a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat Yes sufficient (for long-term survival)? |
|---|---|
| | b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)? |
| 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 7.3 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 |
| 7.4 Short-term trend Direction | Stable (0) |
| 7.5 Short-term trend Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 7.6 Long-term trend Period | |
| 7.7 Long-term trend Direction | |
| 7.8 Long-term trend Method used | |
| | |

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

| Pressure | Ranking |
|--|---------|
| Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01) | Μ |
| Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02) | Μ |
| Roads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g. bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01) | Μ |
| Threat | Ranking |

Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out,
submersion, salinization) (L01)MDroughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate
change (N02)MRoads, paths, railroads and related infrastructure (e.g.
bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measuresa) Are measures needed?Nob) Indicate the status of measures9.2 Main purpose of the measures
taken9.3 Location of the measures taken9.4 Response to the measures9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

| 10. Future prospects | | |
|---|--|----------------------|
| 10.1 Future prospects of parameters | a) Range b) Population c) Habitat of the species | Good Good Good |
| 10.2 Additional information | | |
| 11. Conclusions | | |
| 11.1. Range | Favourable (FV) | |
| 11.2. Population | Favourable (FV) | |
| 11.3. Habitat for the species | Favourable (FV) | |
| 11.4. Future prospects | Favourable (FV) | |
| 11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status | Favourable (FV) | |
| 11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status | Stable (=) | |
| 11.7 Change and reasons for change | a) Overall assessment of | conservation status |
| in conservation status and conservation status trend | No change | |
| CONSELVATION STATUS LIENU | The change is mainly due to: | |
| | b) Overall trend in conse | ervation status |

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

12.2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

