NATIONAL LEVEL	
1. General information	
1.1 Member State	ни
1.2 Species code	1076
1.3 Species scientific name	Proserpinus proserpina
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	törpeszender
2 Mans	

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to	Annex V Species (Art. 14)	
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 1 of 6

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Pannonian (PAN)

KOZMA P: (2014): Adatok a Hevesi-sík nagylepkefaunájának ismeretéhez (Macrolepidoptera). – In: SCHMOTZER A. (eds): Szikfok. Dél-hevesi tanulmányok. Bükki Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Eger, pp., 97-116 pp.

Haraszthy L., Sáfián Sz. (szerk.)(2016): Védett állatfajok elterjedési atlasza Vas, Zala és Somogy megye Natura 2000 területein / Distribution atlas of protected species of animals in Natura 2000 sites of Vas, Zala and Somogy Counties. Somogy Természetvédelmi Szervezet, Somogyfajsz, pp. 1-216.

http://stvsz.com/wp-

content/uploads/2017/07/vedett_allatfajok_elterjedesi_atlasza_2016_dig.pdf Deli Tamás - Danyik Tibor (szerk.) (2015): A Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park természeti értékei II. A Körös-Maros nemzeti Park Állatvilága - Gerinctelenek – KMNPI

Sáfián Sz., Scherer Z., Strausz M., Horváth B. & Korompai T. (2016): Törpeszender Proserpinus proserpina (Pallas, 1772). In: HARASZTHY L. & SÁFIÁN SZ. (szerk.): Védett állatfajok elterjedési atlasza Vas, Zala és Somogy megye Natura 2000 területein. Somogy Természetvédelmi Szervezet, Somogyfajsz: 86-87. https://www.izeltlabuak.hu/faj/torpeszender/talalatok Licensz: CC BY 4.0

5. Range

5.1 Surface area 14333

5.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 2 of 6

5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
5.6 Long-term trend Period	
5.7 Long-term trend Direction	
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
5.9 Long-term trend Method used	
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)
	b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)
	c) Unknown
5.11 Change and reason for change	d) Method
in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
5.12 Additional information	
6. Population	
6.1 Year or period	2013-2018
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)
	b) Minimum
	c) Maximum
	d) Best single value 154
6.3 Type of estimate	Minimum
6.4 Additional population size (using	a) Unit
population unit other than reporting	b) Minimum
unit)	c) Maximum
	d) Best single value
6.5 Type of estimate	
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Uncertain (u)
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum
	c) Confidence interval
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.11 Long-term trend Period	
6.12 Long-term trend Direction	A Reference of
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum
	c) Confidence interval

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 3 of 6

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

- 6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)
- a) Population size
- b) Operator
- c) Unknown x
- d) Method
- 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size
- Use of different method

The change is mainly due to:

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

Unknown

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

- 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used
- Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
- 7.3 Short-term trend Period
- 2007-2018
- 7.4 Short-term trend Direction
- Uncertain (u)
- 7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

- 7.6 Long-term trend Period
- 7.7 Long-term trend Direction
- 7.8 Long-term trend Method used
- 7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	Н
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	M
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (IO2)	M
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	M
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (LO2)	Н
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	M
Threat	Ranking

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 4 of 6

Conversion into agricultural land (excluding drainage and burning) (A01)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (IO2)	M
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (L01)	M
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (LO2)	Н
Invasive alien species of Union concern (I01)	М

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

IAS union concern: Asclepias syriaca L.; Impatiens glandulifera Royle;

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range

Good

b) Population

Unknown

c) Habitat of the species

Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.3. Habitat for the species Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

11.4. Future prospects Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 5 of 6

11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unknown (x)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

- 12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)
- 12.2 Type of estimate
- 12.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
- 12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
- 12.6 Additional information

- a) Unit
- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

13. Complementary information

- 13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends
- 13.2 Trans-boundary assessment
- 13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.27. 12:20:57 Page 6 of 6

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

