

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## NATIONAL LEVEL

### 1. General information

1.1 Member State	HU
1.2 Species code	5197
1.3 Species scientific name	<i>Sabanejewia balcanica</i>
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name (in national language)	balkáni csík

### 2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

### 3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No



# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 5.12 Additional information

We could not distinguish the two taxa (*S. balcanica* and *S. bulgarica*), because data were collected in the reporting period as *S. aurata*. We prepared separate report with the same data for the two taxa. The range of the two taxa is overlapping, *S. balcanica* occurs the upper, while *S. bulgarica* the lower section of rivers.

## 6. Population

### 6.1 Year or period

2013-2018

### 6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)  
b) Minimum  
c) Maximum  
d) Best single value 236

### 6.3 Type of estimate

Minimum

### 6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit  
b) Minimum  
c) Maximum  
d) Best single value

### 6.5 Type of estimate

### 6.6 Population size Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

### 6.7 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

### 6.8 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

### 6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum  
b) Maximum  
c) Confidence interval

### 6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

### 6.11 Long-term trend Period

### 6.12 Long-term trend Direction

### 6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum  
b) Maximum  
c) Confidence interval

### 6.14 Long-term trend Method used

### 6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size  
b) Operator Approximately equal to ( $\approx$ )  
c) Unknown  
d) Method

### 6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data  
Use of different method  
The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 6.17 Additional information

## 7. Habitat for the species

### 7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)? Yes

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

### 7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

### 7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

### 7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

### 7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

### 7.6 Long-term trend Period

### 7.7 Long-term trend Direction

### 7.8 Long-term trend Method used

### 7.9 Additional information

## 8. Main pressures and threats

### 8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	M
Shipping lanes, ferry lanes and anchorage infrastructure (e.g. canalisation, dredging) (E03)	M

Threat	Ranking
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	M
Shipping lanes, ferry lanes and anchorage infrastructure (e.g. canalisation, dredging) (E03)	M

### 8.2 Sources of information

### 8.3 Additional information

## 9. Conservation measures

### 9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? Yes

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified, but none yet taken

### 9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

### 9.3 Location of the measures taken

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## 9.4 Response to the measures

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

## 9.5 List of main conservation measures

Reduce impact of mixed source pollution (CJ01)

Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ02)

Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes (CJ03)

Other measures related to mixed source pollution and multi-purpose human-induced changes in hydraulic conditions (CJ04)

## 9.6 Additional information

## 10. Future prospects

### 10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range	Good
b) Population	Good
c) Habitat of the species	Unknown

### 10.2 Additional information

Development of waterway on River Danube and a project in Middle-Tisa section may modify the habitat of the species in the future.

## 11. Conclusions

### 11.1. Range

Favourable (FV)

### 11.2. Population

Favourable (FV)

### 11.3. Habitat for the species

Favourable (FV)

### 11.4. Future prospects

Favourable (FV)

### 11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Favourable (FV)

### 11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status

Stable (=)

### 11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

#### a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

#### b) Overall trend in conservation status

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

### 11.8 Additional information

## 12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 11 for Annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value	number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)   219
12.2 Type of estimate	Minimum	
12.3 Population size inside the network Method used		Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)	
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used		Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
12.6 Additional information		

## 13. Complementary information


13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

# Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

**Balkáni csík**  
(*Sabanejewia balcanica*)  
II. melléklet



Forrás: Agrárminisztérium,  
Természetmegőrzési Főosztály

## Jelmagyarázat

