NATIONAL LEVEL			
1. General information			
1.1 Member State	ни		
1.2 Species code	1335		
1.3 Species scientific name	Spermophilus citellus		
1.4 Alternative species scientific name			
1.5 Common name (in national language)	ürge		

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art. 14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
	h) other measures	No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs	Pannonian (PAN)
4.2 Sources of information	RAPTORSPREY LIFE (http://sakerlife3.mme.hu/en) unpublished data
	www.vadonleső.hu
	Kispál D., Bérces S. (2016): Az elmúlt 16 év ürgemonitorozási tapasztalatai a Duna-Ipoly Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság működési területén (X. Magyar Természetvédelmi Biológiai Konferencia Műhelytalálkozó Mórahalom Absztrakt- kötet, p.10-11)
	Kispál D. (2016): A közönséges ürge (Spermophilus citellus) állományainak és élőhely választásának vizsgálata. Diplomamunka, Nyugat-Magyarországi Egyetem, Sopron.
	LIFE13 NAT/HU/000183 Midterm report 1. (2016): http://sakerlife3.mme.hu/sites/default/files/allando_tartalmak/Eredmenyek/life 13nat-hu-000183_mtr1.pdf
	LIFE13 NAT/HU/000183 Midterm report 2. (2017): http://sakerlife3.mme.hu/sites/default/files/allando_tartalmak/Eredmenyek/life 13nat-hu-000183_mtr2_final.pdf
	Zoltán Kenyeres, Norbert Bauer, Lajos Nagy, Szilárd Szabó (2018): Enhancement of a declining European ground squirrel (Spermophilus citellus) population with habitat restoration. Journal for Nature Conservation, 45: 98-106.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2013-2018 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

5. Range				
5.1 Surface area	22372			
5.2 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Decreasing (-)			
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum			
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate			
5.6 Long-term trend Period				
5.7 Long-term trend Direction				
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum			
5.9 Long-term trend Method used				
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)			
	b) Operator More than (>)			
	c) Unknown d) Method			
5.11 Change and reason for change	Genuine			
in surface area of range	Improved knowledge/more accurate data			
	Use of different method			
	The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data			
5.12 Additional information				
6. Population				
6.1 Year or period	2013-2018			
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of individuals (i)			
	b) Minimum 70000			
	c) Maximum 140000			
	d) Best single value			
6.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate			
6.4 Additional population size (using	a) Unit			
population unit other than reporting	b) Minimum			
unit)	c) Maximum			
	d) Best single value			
6.5 Type of estimate				
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data			
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Decreasing (-)			
	0.7			

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.11 Long-term trend Period	
6.12 Long-term trend Direction	
6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum
	c) Confidence interval
6.14 Long-term trend Method used	
6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)	a) Population size b) Operator More than (>) c) Unknown d) Method
6.16 Change and reason for change	Genuine
in population size	Improved knowledge/more accurate data
	The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat	a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat Yes sufficient (for long-term survival)?
	b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?
7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.3 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
7.4 Short-term trend Direction	Decreasing (-)
7.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
7.6 Long-term trend Period	
7.7 Long-term trend Direction	
7.8 Long-term trend Method used	
7.9 Additional information	

8. Main pressures and threats

Pressure

Ranking

Conversion from one type of agricultural land use to another H (excluding drainage and burning) (A02)

.,,			
Abandonment of grassland managemen grazing or mowing) (A06)	nt (e.g. cessation of	Н	
Conversion from other land uses to hour recreational areas (excluding drainage a coastline, estuary and coastal condition	and modification of	Η	
Construction or modification (e.g. of ho settlements) in existing urban or recrea	-	Н	
Use of plant protection chemicals in ag	riculture (A21)	Μ	
Roads, paths, railroads and related infra bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	astructure (e.g.	Μ	
Flooding (natural processes) (M08)		Μ	
Problematic native species (104)		Μ	
Threat		Ranking	
Conversion from one type of agricultura (excluding drainage and burning) (A02)	al land use to another	Н	
Abandonment of grassland managemen grazing or mowing) (A06)	nt (e.g. cessation of	Н	
Solar power, including infrastructure (D	03)	Н	
Conversion from other land uses to housing, settlement or recreational areas (excluding drainage and modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions) (F01)		Н	
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)		Н	
Use of plant protection chemicals in ag	riculture (A21)	Μ	
Roads, paths, railroads and related infra bridges, viaducts, tunnels) (E01)	astructure (e.g.	Μ	
Flooding (natural processes) (M08)		Μ	
Problematic native species (IO4)		Μ	
8.2 Sources of information			
8.3 Additional information			
9. Conservation measures			
9.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures nee	ded?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status	s of measures	Measures identified and taken
9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Expand the current r	ange of the spe	cies (related to 'Range')
9.3 Location of the measures taken	Both inside and outsi	ide Natura 2000	0
9.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results	s (within the ne	xt two reporting periods, 2019-2030)
9.5 List of main conservation measures			

Maintain existing extensive agricultural practices and agricultural landscape features (CA03)

Other measures related to natural processes (CL04)

Reinforce populations of species from the directives (CS01)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters	a) Range	Poor
	b) Population	Poor
	c) Habitat of the species	Poor

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.2. Population	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.3. Habitat for the species	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.4. Future prospects	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Deteriorating (-)
11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend	a) Overall assessment of conservation status
	No change
	The change is mainly due to:
	b) Overall trend in conservation status
	No change
	The change is mainly due to:

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)
	b) Minimum	50000
	c) Maximum	100000
	d) Best single value	
12.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
12.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data	
12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)	

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

