NATIONAL LEVEL				
1. General information				
1.1 Member State	HU			
1.2 Species code	1040			
1.3 Species scientific name	Stylurus flavipes			
1.4 Alternative species scientific name				
1.5 Common name (in national language)	sárgás szitakötő			

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species No
2.2 Year or period 2013-2018

2.3 Distribution map Yes

2.4 Distribution map Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

2.5 Additional maps No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?

3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?

No

a) regulations regarding access to property	No
b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No
c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No
h) other measures	No

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 1 of 7

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Pannonian (PAN)

Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer 2013-2018 közt végzett felméréseinek jelentései

Ambrus A., Danyik T., Kovács T., Olajos P. (2018): Magyarország szitakötőinek kézikönyve (Handbook of the Damselflies and Dragonflies of Hungary). Természettár Könyvsorozat. Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Herman Ottó Nonprofit Kft., Budapest, 290 oldal

Farkas Anna - Danyik Tibor - Móra Arnold (2016): A Körös-MarosNemzeti Park folyóinak folyami szitakötői (Odonata: Gomphidae) - Crisicum 9: 133-164

5. Range

5.1 Surface area 23913

5.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

c. II (0)

5.3 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 2 of 7

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum 5.5 Short-term trend Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data 5.6 Long-term trend Period 5.7 Long-term trend Direction 5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude b) Maximum a) Minimum 5.9 Long-term trend Method used 5.10 Favourable reference range a) Area (km²) b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈) c) Unknown d) Method 5.11 Change and reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data in surface area of range The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

5.12 Additional information

6. Population

6.1 Year or period	2013-2018
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 525
6.3 Type of estimate	Minimum
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	a) Unitb) Minimumc) Maximumd) Best single value
6.5 Type of estimate	
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Confidence interval

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 3 of 7

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

- a) Population size
- b) Operator

Approximately equal to (≈)

- c) Unknown
- d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

Yes

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 4 of 7

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	Н
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	M
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	M
Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including infrastructure (D02)	М
Threat	Ranking
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	Н
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	M
Mixed source pollution to surface and ground waters (limnic and terrestrial) (J01)	М
Hydropower (dams, weirs, run-off-the-river), including	M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 5 of 7

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good

b) Population Good

c) Habitat of the species Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.4. Future prospects

11.5 Overall assessment of

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Favourable (FV)

11.3. Habitat for the species Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Favourable (FV)

Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation

Stable (=)

Status

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

- 12.2 Type of estimate
- 12.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 6 of 7

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2020.07.16. 9:03:56 Page 7 of 7

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

