

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

1. Species Information

1.1 Member State	Hungary
1.2.2 Natura 2000 code	A511
1.3 Species name	Falco cherrug
1.3.1 Sub-specific population	
1.4 Alternative species name	
1.5 Common name	kerecsensólyom
1.6 Season	Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period	2010-2012
2.2 Population size	a)unit number of pairs (p) b)minimum 220 c)maximum 245
2.3 Type of estimate	The best available single figure or range (Best estimate)
2.4 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.5 Quality	Good (3)
2.6 Sources	Bagyura, J., Fidlóczky, J., Szitta, T., Prommer, M., Tihanyi, G., Zalai, T., Balázs, I., Váczi, M., Viszló, L., Klébert, A., Haraszthy, L., Tóth, I., Török, H. A., Demeter, I., Serfőző, J., Pigniczki, Cs., Kazi, R. é Erdélyi, K. (2012): A Kerecsensólyom-védelmi Munkacsoport 2010. évi beszámolója / Annual Report of the Saker Falcon Conservation Working Group 2010 (In Hungarian with English summary.) Heliaca 8: 22-29. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database. National Park Directorate's databases.

2.8 Additional information

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	2000-2012
3.1.1 Period	Increase (+)
3.1.2 Trend direction	a)Min 70 b)Max 80
3.1.3 Magnitude	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
3.1.4 Method used	Good (3)
3.1.5 Quality	Bagyura, J., Fidlóczky, J., Szitta, T., Prommer, M., Tihanyi, G., Zalai, T., Balázs, I., Váczi, M., Viszló, L., Klébert, A., Haraszthy, L., Tóth, I., Török, H. A., Demeter, I., Serfőző, J., Pigniczki, Cs., Kazi, R. é Erdélyi, K. (2012): A Kerecsensólyom-védelmi Munkacsoport 2010. évi beszámolója / Annual Report of the Saker Falcon Conservation Working Group 2010 (In Hungarian with English summary.) Heliaca 8: 22-29. Breeding bird (MME RTM) database. National Park Directorate's databases.
3.1.6 Sources	

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

3.2.1 Period	1980-2012
3.2.2 Trend direction	Increase (+)
3.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min 800 b)Max 850
3.2.4 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
3.2.5 Quality	Good (3)
3.2.6 Sources	Bagyura, J., Szitta, T., Haraszthy, L., Kállay, G., Demeter, I., Sándor, I., Dudás, M. and Visszló, L. (2003): Population trend of the Saker Falcon (<i>Falco cherrug</i>) in Hungary between 1980 and 2002. In: R. D. Chancellor & Meyburg, B.-U. eds. 2004. Raptors Worldwide - Proceedings of the 6th World Conference on birds of Prey and Owls, Budapest, Hungary, 18-23 May 2003.: 663-672. National Park Directorate's databases.

3.3 Additional information

4. Breeding distribution map and range size

4.1 Year or period	2000-2012
4.2 Sensitive species	No
4.3 Distribution map	Yes
4.4 Additional distribution map	No
4.5 Range map	Yes
4.6 Range surface area	22221
4.7 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
4.8 Quality	Good (3)
4.9 Sources	Breeding bird (MME RTM) database.
4.11 Additional information	The distribution and range map made by using breeding probability data.

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Period	2000-2012
5.1.2 Trend direction	Increase (+)
5.1.3 Magnitude	a)Min 10 b)Max 20
5.1.4 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
5.1.5 Quality	Good (3)
5.1.6 Sources	Raptor Conservation Group of MME/Birdlife Hungary

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Period	1980-2012
5.2.2 Trend direction	Increase (+)
5.2.3 Magnitude	a)Min 50 b)Max 80
5.2.4 Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
5.2.5 Quality	Good (3)
5.2.6 Sources	Raptor Conservation Group of MME/Birdlife Hungary

5.3 Additional information

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.1 Type of plan

Species Action Plans (SAP)

6.2 National plan adopted?

False

6.3 Measures linked to SAP/MP/BMS

Szabadvezetékek madárbarát átalakítása. Műfészkek kihelyezése, az állomány zöme már fészkelőládában költ. 2 LIFE Nature projekt a faj védelmére, szomszédos országok bevonásával.

6.4 Further Information

<http://sakerlife2.mme.hu/en>

Tóth P. (szerk.) (2014): Terepi madárhatározó gazdálkodóknak.

Bagyura J. et al. (2011): A kerecsensólyom-védelmi Munkacsoport 2011. évi beszámolója. Heliaca, 2011, p. 18-23.

7. Main pressures and threats

Pressure	impact	quality	location	sources
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	Moderate (2)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
non intensive grazing (A04.02)	high importance (H)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	[Haraszthy L. (szerk.)]: Veszélyeztetett madarak fajvédelmi tervei. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest, 2003. Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	medium importance (M)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	[Haraszthy L. (szerk.)]: Veszélyeztetett madarak fajvédelmi tervei. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest, 2003. Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

Roads, paths and railroads (D01)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
suspended electricity and phone lines (D02.01.01)	high importance (H)	Good (3)	Both inside and outside EU (1)	[Haraszthy L. (szerk.)]: Veszélyeztetett madarak fajvédelmi tervei. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest, 2003. Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
Industrial or commercial areas (E02)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
trapping, poisoning, poaching (F03.02.03)	high importance (H)	Good (3)	Both inside and outside EU (1)	[Haraszthy L. (szerk.)]: Veszélyeztetett madarak fajvédelmi tervei. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest, 2003. Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	high importance (H)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	[Haraszthy L. (szerk.)]: Veszélyeztetett madarak fajvédelmi tervei. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest, 2003. Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan.
genetic pollution (animals) (I03.01)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Both inside and outside EU (1)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan

Bird species' status and trends reporting format for the period 2008-2012 (Annex 2)

reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan
predation (K03.04)	low importance (L)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan
storm, cyclone (L07)	medium importance (M)	Good (3)	Inside the Member State (4)	Nagy, Sz. & Demeter, I. (2006). Saker Falcon: European Single Species Action Plan

8. SPA coverage and conservation measures

8.1 Population inside the SPA network

8.1.1 Population size

a)unit number of pairs b)minimum 90 c)maximum 130
(p)

8.1.2 Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)

8.1.3 Short-term trend of population

Increase (+)

8.2 Conservation Measures

8.2.1 Measure	8.2.2 Type	8.2.3 Ranking	8.2.4 Location	8.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Contractual Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain
Adapting crop production (2.2)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain
Legal protection of habitats and species (6.3)	Legal One-off	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain
Manage landscape features (6.4)	Administrative One-off	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain
Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking (7.1)	Legal One-off	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Enhance
Specific management of traffic and energy transport systems (8.2)	Contractual One-off	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain

Térképmelléklet a madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez 2013.

kerecsensólyom (*Falco cherrug*)

jelölő faj (I. melléklet)

