

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 6210

NAME: Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia) (\* important orchid

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

### Pannonian (PAN)

Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót.

Molnár, Zs., M. Biró, J. Bölöni & F. Horváth (2008): Distribution of the (semi-) natural habitats in Hungary I.: Marshes and grasslands, Acta Botanica Hungarica 50 (Suppl): 59-105.

Illyés E & Bölöni J. (szerk.): Lejtősztyepek, lösztgyepek és erdőssztyeprétek Magyarországon. Budapest. 2007. MTA ÖBKI.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentése

### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	36499
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator unknown method approximately equal to (≈) No
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	85
2.4.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	min	max
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A	
2.4.11 Long term trend method used		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator unknown method	more than (>) No
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources

## 2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

# **Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)**

## **2.7.1 Species**

*Brachypodium pinnatum*

*Bromus erectus*

*Cirsium pannonicum*

*Dictamnus albus*

*Dorycnium spp.*

*Hipochoeris maculata*

*Inula spp.*

*Peucedanum spp.*

*Linum flavum*

*Linum tenuifolium*

*Linum hirsutum*

*Seseli varium*

*Seseli osseum*

*Tanacetum corymbosum*

*Trifolium alpestre*

*Trifolium montanum*

*Trifolium rubens*

*Geranium sanguineum*

*Melampyrum barbatum*

*Veronica teucrium*

*Polygala major*

*Orchidaceae*

*Euphorbia seguierana*

*Campanula glomerata*

*Dianthus pontederae*

*Poa angustifolia*

*Calamagrostis epigeios*

*Elymus repens*

*Elymus hispidus*

*Cronilla varia*

*Knautia arvensis*

*Arrhenatherum elatius*

*Plantago lanceolata*

*Bromus inermis*

*Ailanthus altissima*

*Robinia pseudoacacia*

*Elaeagnus angustifolia*

*Solidago adv. spp.*

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## 2.7.2 Species method used

NBmR 5×5 km-es kvadrátok és N2000 területek élőhelyterképezése, az NBmR monitorozásra kiválasztott társulásainak cönológiai felvételezése, valamint a közösségi jelentőségű élőhelytípusok monitorozása eredményeinek összegzése és értékelése alapján.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

A struktúra-funkció megítélése 5 komponensű (fajkészlet, fragmentáltság, inváziós fertőzöttség, termőhelyi sérülékenység, kezelések sikeresége) szempontrendszer alapján történt.

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)

### 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

### 2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)

### 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Inadequate (U1)

### 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

stable (=)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

#### 3.1.1 Surface area (km<sup>2</sup>)

min 74 max 78

#### 3.1.2 Method used

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

#### 3.1.3. Trend of surface area

N/A

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Legal Administrative Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain

Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez  
2013.

6210 Szálkaperjés-rozsnokos xero-mezofil gyepek

