

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 6250

NAME: Pannonic loess steppic grasslands

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

2.2 Published

Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót.

Molnár, Zs., M. Biró, J. Bölöni & F. Horváth (2008): Distribution of the (semi-) natural habitats in Hungary I.: Marshes and grasslands, Acta Botanica Hungarica 50 (Suppl): 59-105.

Illyés E & Bölöni J. (szerk.): Lejtősztyepek, löszgyepek és erdősztyeprétek Magyarországon. Budapest. 2007. MTA ÖBKI.

Horváth A. (2010): Validation of description of the xeromesophilous loess grasslands association, Euphorbio pannonicae-Brachypodietum pinnati. – Acta Botanica Hungarica 52 (1-2): 103-122.

Schmidt D. & Lengyel A. (2008): Adatok a Pannonhalmi-dombság flórájának ismeretéhez. Flora Pannonica 6.: 25-57.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	55590
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method

2.3.10 Reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.1 Surface area (km ²)	220
2.4.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator more than (>) unknown No method
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
grassland removal for arable land (A02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
agricultural intensification (A02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
anthropogenic reduction of habitat connectivity (J03.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
grassland removal for arable land (A02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Outdoor sports and leisure activities, recreational activities (G01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Adonis vernalis

Agropyron pectinatum

Ajuga laxmanni

Anchusa barellieri

Astragalus astriacus

Chamaecytisus spp.

Crambe tataria

Euphorbia pannonica

Kochia prostrata

Hypericum elegans

Astragalus asper

Festuca rupicola

Elymus intermedius

Carduus hamulosus

Ornithogalum brevistylum

Phlomis tuberosa

Sternbergia colchiciflora

Taraxacum serotinum

Vinca herbacea

Viola ambigua

Inula germanica

Bromus inermis

Poa angustifolia

Elymus repens

Picris hieratioides

Cynodon dactylon

Bothryochloa ischaemum

Falcaria vulgaris

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Achillea collina

Ailanthus altissima

Fraxinus pennsylvanica

Robinia pseudoacacia

Elaeagnus angustifolia

Asclepias syriaca

Solidago adv. spp.

Celtis occidentalis

Syringa vulgaris

Lycium barbarum

2.7.2 Species method used

NBmR 5x5 km-es kvadrátok és N2000 területek élőhelytérképezése, az NBmR monitorozásra kiválasztott társulásainak cönológiai felvételezése, valamint a közösségi jelentőségű élőhelytípusok monitorozása eredményeinek összegzése és értékelése alapján.

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

2.7.5 Other relevant information

A struktúra-funkció megítélése 5 komponensű (fajkészlet, fragmentáltság, inváziós fertőzöttség, termőhelyi sérülékenység, kezelések sikeressége) szemponrendszer alapján történt.

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Bad (U2)

2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km²)

min 180 max 190

3.1.2 Method used

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3. Trend of surface area

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Legal Administrative Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Regulation/ Management of hunting and taking (7.1)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Enhance

