

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 7230

NAME: Alkaline fens

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

- 1.1.1 Distribution Map
- 1.1.2 Distribution Method
- 1.1.3 Year or period
- 1.1.4 Additional map
- 1.1.5 Range Map

Yes
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2007-2012
No
Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót.

Molnár, Zs., M. Biró, J. Bölöni & F. Horváth (2008): Distribution of the (semi-)natural habitats in Hungary I.: Marshes and grasslands, Acta Botanica Hungarica 50 (Suppl): 59-105.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentése

2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km²)
- 2.3.2 Range method used
- 2.3.3 Short-term trend period
- 2.3.4 Short-term trend direction
- 2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude
- 2.3.6 Long-term trend period
- 2.3.7 Long-term trend direction
- 2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude
- 2.3.9 Favourable reference range

27436	
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2001-2012	
stable (0)	
min	max
N/A	
min	max
area (km ²)	
operator	approximately equal to (≈)
unkown	No
method	

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

2.4 Area covered by Habitat

- 2.4.1 Surface area (km²)
- 2.4.2 Year or period
- 2.4.3 Method used
- 2.4.4 Short-term trend period
- 2.4.5 Short-term trend direction
- 2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude

25	
2007-2012	
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2001-2012	
stable (0)	
min	max

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	min	max
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A	
2.4.11 Long term trend method used		
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator unknown method	more than (> No)
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method	

2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive mowing or intensification (A03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Peat extraction (C01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources

2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Canalisation & water deviation (J02.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
intensive mowing or intensification (A03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment / lack of mowing (A03.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
competition (flora) (K04.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Peat extraction (C01.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.7 Complementary Information

2.7.1 Species

Carex davalliana

Carex flava

Carex lepidocarpa

Carex panicea

Eriophorum spp.

Lathyrus pannonicus

Parnassia palustris

Polygala amarella

Scorzonera humilis

Juncus subnodulosus

Schoenus nigricans

Dactylorhiza majalis

Dactylorhiza incarnata

Epipactis palustris

Carex elata

Peucedanum palustre

Thelypteris palustris

Lysimachia vulgaris

Eupatorium cannabinum

Urtica dioica

Phragmites australis

Carex acutiformis

Aster adv. spp.

Echinocystis lobata

Solidago adv. spp.

2.7.2 Species method used

NBmR 5×5 km-es kvadrátok és N2000 területek élőhelyterképezése, az NBmR monitorozásra kiválasztott társulásainak cönológiai felvételezése, valamint a közösségi jelentőségű élőhelytípusok monitorozása eredményeinek összegzése és értékelése alapján.

2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

A struktúra-funkció megítélése 5 komponensű (fajkészlet, fragmentáltság, inváziós fertőzöttség, termőhelyi sérülékenység, kezelések sikeressége) szempontrendszer alapján történt.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.8.1 Range	assessment Favourable (FV) qualifiers N/A
2.8.2 Area	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers stable (=)
2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)	assessment Bad (U2) qualifiers declining (-)
2.8.4 Future prospects	assessment Inadequate (U1) qualifiers declining (-)
2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Bad (U2)
2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status	declining (-)

3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

3.1 Area covered by habitat

3.1.1 Surface area (km ²)	min 20	max 22	
3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
3.1.3. Trend of surface area	N/A		

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Legal Administrative Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Administrative Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term

Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez
2013.

7230 Mészkedvelő (meszes talajú) üde láp- és sásrétek

