Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types

(Annex D)	
NATIONAL LEVEL	

1. General information

1.1 Member State HU

1.2 Habitat code 6230 - Species-rich Nardus grasslands, on siliceous substrates in mountain are

2. Maps

2.1 Year or period 2013-2018

2.3 Distribution map Yes

2.3 Distribution map Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

2.4 Additional maps

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

3. Biogeographical and marine regions

3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs

Pannonian (PAN)

3.2 Sources of information

Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót.

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. ProVértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár, 955 pp.

Vojtkó A. (2014): Vegetáció. in: Virók V. – Farkas R. – Farkas T. – Boldoghné Szűts F. – Vojtkó A. (szerk): A Gömör-Tornai-karszt flórája. Általános rész

4. Range

4.1 Surface area

1055

4.2 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

4.3 Short-term trend Direction

Decreasing (-)

4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude

b) Maximum a) Minimum Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

4.5 Short-term trend Method used

4.6 Long-term trend Period

4.7 Long-term trend Direction

4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) MInimum

b) Maximum

4.9 Long-term trend Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

4.10 Favourable reference range

a) Area (km²)

b) Operator Much more than (>>)

c) Unknown

Yes

4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

d) Method Genuine

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

4.12 Additional information

5. Area covered by habitat

5.1 Year or period 2013-2018

2019.11.27. Page 1 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

5.2 Surface area (in km²)	a) Minimum 0	,07 b)	Maximum 0,1	c) Best single value
5.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate			
5.4 Surface area Method used	Complete surv	ey or a statisti	cally robust estimate	
5.5 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018			
5.6 Short-term trend Direction	Decreasing (-)			
5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b)	Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.8 Short-term trend Method used	Complete surv	ey or a statisti	cally robust estimate	
5.9 Long-term trend Period				
5.10 Long-term trend Direction				
5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b)	Maximum	c) Confidence interval
5.12 Long-term trend Method used				
5.13 Favourable reference area	a) Area (km²)			
	b) Operator	Much more	than (>>)	
	c) Unknown	Yes		
	d) Method			
5.14 Change and reason for change	Genuine			
surface area of range Improved knowledge/more accurate data				
	The change is r	mainly due to:	Genuine change	

5.15 Additional information

6. Structure and functions

6.1 Condition of habitat	a) Area in good condition (km²)	Minimum 0,055	Maximum 0,08
	b) Area in not-good condition (km²)	Minimum 0,015	Maximum 0,02
	c) Area where condition is not known (km²)	Minimum 0	Maximum 0
6.2 Condition of habitat Method used	Complete survey or a statisf	ically robust estimate	
6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period	20072018		
6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction	Decreasing (-)		
6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area	Complete survey or a statist	cically robust estimate	
in good condition Method used	Has the list of typical specie	s changed in comparison to	the previous No
6.6 Typical species	reporting period?	70.17	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
6.7 Typical species Method used			
6.8 Additional information			

7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Natural succession resulting in species composition change	Н

2019.11.27. Page 2 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

(other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (LO2)	
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	Н
Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M
Threat	Ranking
Natural succession resulting in species composition change (other than by direct changes of agricultural or forestry practices) (LO2)	Н
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	Н
Management of fishing stocks and game (G08)	M

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures	a) Are measures needed?	Yes
	b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified, but none yet taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

Reinstate appropriate agricultural practices to address abandonment, including mowing, grazing, burning or equivalent measures (CA04)

Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities (CA05)

Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes (CL01)

Reducing the impact of (re-) stocking for fishing and hunting, of artificial feeding and predator control (CG03)

8.6 Additional information

9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters a) Range Bad b) Area Bad

c) Structure and functions Bad

9.2 Additional information

10. Conclusions

2019.11.27. Page 3 of 4

Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

10.1. Range
10.2. Area

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species)
10.4. Future prospects
10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status
10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and

Unfavourable - Bad (U2) Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Unfavourable - Inadequate (U1)

Unfavourable - Bad (U2) Unfavourable - Bad (U2)

Deteriorating (-)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

10.8 Additional information

conservation status trend

11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/marine region)

11.2 Type of estimate

11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used

11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction

11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used

11.6 Additional information

a) Minimum 0,055 b) Maximum 0,8

c) Best single value

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Decreasing (-)

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

12. Complementary information

12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

12.2 Other relevant information

2019.11.27. Page 4 of 4

