# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

## NATIONAL LEVEL

### 1. General information

| | |
|---|---|
| 1.1 Member State | **HU** |
| 1.2 Habitat code | **8150 - Medio-European upland siliceous screes** |

### 2. Maps

| | |
|---|---|
| 2.1 Year or period | 2017-2018 |
| 2.3 Distribution map | Yes |
| 2.3 Distribution map Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| 2.4 Additional maps | No |

## BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

### 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

| | |
|---|---|
| 3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs | **Pannonian (PAN)** |
| 3.2 Sources of information | „A közösségi jelentőségű fajok és élőhelyek megőrzését szolgáló tudásbázis fejlesztése ” (KEHOP-4.3.0-VEKOP-15-2016-00001) projekt adatai |

### 4. Range

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4.1 Surface area | 792 | |
| 4.2 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 | |
| 4.3 Short-term trend Direction | Stable (0) | |
| 4.4 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum |
| 4.5 Short-term trend Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data | |
| 4.6 Long-term trend Period | | |
| 4.7 Long-term trend Direction | | |
| 4.8 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) MInimum | b) Maximum |
| 4.9 Long-term trend Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data | |
| 4.10 Favourable reference range | a) Area (km²) | |
| | b) Operator | Approximately equal to (≈) |
| | c) Unknown | Yes |
| | d) Method | |
| 4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range | Improved knowledge/more accurate data<br>Use of different method | |
| | The change is mainly due to: | Improved knowledge/more accurate data |
| 4.12 Additional information | | |

### 5. Area covered by habitat

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5.1 Year or period | 2017-2018 | | |
| 5.2 Surface area (in km²) | a) Minimum 0,05 | b) Maximum 0,1 | c) Best single value |
| 5.3 Type of estimate | Best estimate | | |
| 5.4 Surface area Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate | | |
| 5.5 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5.6 Short-term trend Direction | Stable (0) | | |
| 5.7 Short-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum | c) Confidence interval |
| 5.8 Short-term trend Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data | | |
| 5.9 Long-term trend Period | | | |
| 5.10 Long-term trend Direction | | | |
| 5.11 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | b) Maximum | c) Confidence interval |
| 5.12 Long-term trend Method used | | | |
| 5.13 Favourable reference area | a) Area (km²) | | |
| | b) Operator | Approximately equal to (≈) | |
| | c) Unknown | Yes | |
| | d) Method | | |
| 5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range | Improved knowledge/more accurate data<br>Use of different method | | |
| | The change is mainly due to: | Improved knowledge/more accurate data | |
| 5.15 Additional information | | | |

## 6. Structure and functions

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6.1 Condition of habitat | a) Area in good condition (km²) | Minimum | 0,05 | Maximum | 0,1 |
| | b) Area in not-good condition (km²) | Minimum | 0 | Maximum | 0 |
| | c) Area where condition is not known (km²) | Minimum | 0 | Maximum | 0 |
| 6.2 Condition of habitat Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate | | | | |
| 6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Period | 20072018 | | | | |
| 6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Direction | Stable (0) | | | | |
| 6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data | | | | |
| 6.6 Typical species | Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? | | Yes | | |
| 6.7 Typical species Method used | Polypodium vulgare, Geranium robertianum, Dryopteris filix-mas, Hylotelephium telephium subsp. maximum, Asplenium trichomanes, , Cystopteris fragilis, Galium mollugo | | | | |
| 6.8 Additional information | | | | | |

## 7. Main pressures and threats

7.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

| Pressure | Ranking |
|---|---|
| Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07) | M |

| Threat | Ranking |
|---|---|
| Sports, tourism and leisure activities (F07) | M |

7.2 Sources of information

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

7.3 Additional information

## 8. Conservation measures

8.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? No

b) Indicate the status of measures

8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

8.3 Location of the measures taken

8.4 Response to the measures

8.5 List of main conservation measures

8.6 Additional information

## 9. Future prospects

9.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good

b) Area Good

c) Structure and functions Good

9.2 Additional information

## 10. Conclusions

10.1. Range Favourable (FV)

10.2. Area Favourable (FV)

10.3. Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) Favourable (FV)

10.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status Stable (=)

10.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

10.8 Additional information

## 11. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (in km² in biogeographical/ marine region)

a) Minimum 0,1

b) Maximum 0,3

c) Best single value

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under Article 17 for Annex I habitat types (Annex D)

| | |
|---|---|
| 11.2 Type of estimate | Best estimate |
| 11.3 Surface area of the habitat type inside the network Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| 11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network Direction | Stable (0) |
| 11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within network Method used | Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data |
| 11.6 Additional information | |

## 12. Complementary information

| | |
|---|---|
| 12.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends | |
| 12.2 Other relevant information | |

# Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019

## Közép-európai mészkerülő sziklatörmeléknövényzet

*8150*




Forrás: Agrárminisztérium,
Természetmegőrzési Főosztály