

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	2487
0.2.2 Species name	<b>Acipenser ruthenus</b>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	kecsege

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

### 2.1 Biogeographical Region

**Pannonian (PAN)**

### 2.2 Published sources

### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	12867
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator unknown method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	approximately equal to (≈) No
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 123 max 154
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	N/A		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A		
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	number		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data		

## 2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	220	
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	átjárási akadályok (duzzasztóművek), vízminőség, nyári kisvizek	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	220	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	
2.5.10 Reason for change		

## 2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Professional passive fishing (F02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pole fishing (F02.03.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
dredging/ removal of limnic sediments (J02.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources

## 2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Professional passive fishing (F02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
pole fishing (F02.03.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
dredging/ removal of limnic sediments (J02.02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.8 Complementary Information

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds  
for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

## 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of  
Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in  
Conservation Status stable (=)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A  
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

**Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez  
2013.**

**Kecsege** (*Acipenser ruthenus*)

V. melléklet

