

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	1048
0.2.2 Species name	<b>Aeshna viridis</b>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	zöld acsa

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	Yes
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	<b>Pannonian (PAN)</b>
2.2 Published sources	Tóth, S. (2010): A Dunántúli-dombság és környéke szitakötő faunája. – Natura Somogyiensis 16: 188. Dévai Gy., Miskolczi M., Jakab T. (2009): A Bodroglóközben végzett 2006. év odonatólogiai felmérések eredményei. - Studia odonotologia hungarica, 10: 91-114. Huber, A. (2008): Adatok Északkelet-Magyarország szitakötő- (Odonata-) faunájához III. - Folia Historico Naturalia Musei Matrensis, 32: 93-102.

### 2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	1788
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	

### 2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of localities (localities) min 9 max 13
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Víztestek száma, ahol előfordul a faj Conversion method

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

## Problems

Kis egyedsűrűségű állományai vannak, nehezen mintázható vizekben fordul elő. Nagy ráfordítással is nehéz a kimutatása.

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator more than (>) unknown No method
2.4.15 Reason for change	

## 2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	1
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	a fejlődéséhez szükséges növényfaj (kolokán) megléte, láposodó karakter megléte, stabil vízháztartás
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km <sup>2</sup> )	2
2.5.10 Reason for change	

## 2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
pole fishing (F02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
other sport / leisure complexes (G02.10)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

## 2.7 Main Threats

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
pole fishing (F02.03.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
other sport / leisure complexes (G02.10)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

## 2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)  
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)  
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status stable (=)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

### 3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A  
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

