

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	4029
0.2.2 Species name	Chondrosoma fiduciarium
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	magyar ősziaraszoló

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	598
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	unknown (x)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 4 max 6
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems A faj sajátos életmódja és az imágók viselkedése miatt a mintavételezés – és ennek következtében a faj észlelése igen nehéz. Ehhez járul a korábbi irodalmi adatok alapján dokumentált populációs látencia-időszak, mely olykor ötven évet is kitett. Ennek megfelelően sem az aktuális „hiány”, sem pedig az

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

„állomány nagyság” nem becsülhető kellő pontossággal.

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	much more than (>>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	2		
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	A faj számára nagyobb kiterjedésű, összefüggő, és csak kismértékben fásodott sztyepterületek szükségesek. Ezek a KNP területén még többnyire jó állapotban megvannak, míg a tétényi –fennsíki élőhelyek gyeppállopa már nem felel meg a faj tartós túlélési követelményeinek. A cserjésedés egy mértékig még elfogadható a faj fennmaradása szempontjából, de a gyepek beerdősülése már nem.		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	70		
2.5.10 Reason for change			

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
mowing / cutting of grassland (A03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities (E06)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
mowing / cutting of grassland (A03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest planting on open ground (B01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
forest replanting (B02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Urbanised areas, human habitation (E01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities (E06)	low importance (L)	N/A
Sport and leisure structures (G02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
abandonment of pastoral systems, lack of grazing (A04.03)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

A faj a jelentési időszakban nem került elő, ezért a korábbi előfordulási helyek alapján csak range térkép készítését láttuk indokoltnak, mivel a rendszer hibát jelzett a feltöltésnél, az eredetileg range térképnek szánt térképet a distribution térképhez is feltöltöttük. Szakmai szempontból a csak range térképet tartjuk megalapozottnak.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.2. Population assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
min 4 max 6

3.1.2 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Administrative Contractual Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Legal Administrative Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term
Other species management measures (7.0)	One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Long term

