

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	4016
0.2.2 Species name	Dorcadion fulvum cervae
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	Carinatodorcadion fulvum cervae
0.2.4 Common name	pusztai gyalogcincér

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	Merkl O., Szabó K., Fülöp D., Bozsó M., Máté A., Peregovits L., Soltész Z., Somogyi K. & Péntes Zs. 2007: A pusztai gyalogcincér (<i>Dorcadion cervae</i>). – In: Forró L. (szerk.): A Kárpát-medence állatvilágának kialakulása. Magyar Természettudományi Múzeum, Budapest, pp. 125–132. A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	1599,84
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 150000 max 750000
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems A pusztai gyalogcincér egyedszáma hatalmas

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

mértékben ingadozik.

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method		

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	300
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Terepi tapasztalatok alapján.
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	300
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grassland removal for arable land (A02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	low importance (L)	N/A
inundation (natural processes) (L08)	high importance (H)	N/A
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other	

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
grassland removal for arable land (A02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Discharges (E03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	low importance (L)	N/A
inundation (natural processes) (L08)	high importance (H)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

A pusztai gyalogcincér mintavételezése aránylag könnyű, ha egyedszáma megfelelően magas.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of individuals (i)
min 100000 max 500000

3.1.2 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	One-off	high importance (H)	Inside	Long term
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Adapting crop production (2.2)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term

