

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	1074
0.2.2 Species name	Eriogaster catax
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	sárga gyapjasszövő

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései.

Ambrus A., Kiss Sz., Sáfián Sz., Horváth B. & Horváth Á. (2010): A sárga gyapjasszövő – Eriogaster catax (Linnaeus, 1758) (Lepidoptera: Lasiocampidae), európai jelentőségű populációja a váti katonai gyakorlótér területén (Vas megye). Natura Somogyiensis 17: 281-286.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	18449
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10) min 123 max 198
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.4.9 Short-term trend method		confidence interval
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A	
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A	confidence interval
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator unknown	approximately equal to (≈) No method
2.4.15 Reason for change		Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	190	
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	cserjeborítás és magasság, valamint területkezelés	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	190	
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)		Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data
2.5.10 Reason for change		

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources.

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of hedges and copses or scrub (A10.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
removal of forest undergrowth (B02.03)	medium importance (M)	N/A
burning down (J01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds
for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers declining (-)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status
Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status
stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of map 10x10 km grid cells (grids10x10)
min 58 max 98

3.1.2 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other species management measures (7.0)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Long term
Adapting crop production (2.2)	Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Maintain
Other agriculture-related measures (2.0)	Recurrent	low importance (L)	Inside	Enhance Long term

Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez
2013.

Sárga gyapjasszövő (*Eriogaster catax*)

II., IV. melléklet

