

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	1428
0.2.2 Species name	Marsilea quadrifolia
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	négylevelű mételyfű

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2010
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

Jakab, G. (szerk.) 2012: A Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park növényvilága – Körös-Maros Nemzeti Park Igazgatóság, Szarvas.
A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	1856
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unkown No method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate dataUse of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit area coverd by population in m ² (area) min 100 max 400
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems Mivel az eddigi hajtásszám megadása nem vezetett egységes eredményre, a faj szakértőinek javaslata alapján az állományadatokat m ² levélfelület-

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

egységen adjuk meg, mert ez a számlálási egység felel meg leginkább a faj morfológiából adódóan.

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2010		
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	N/A		
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A		
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	number		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator	more than (>)	
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	0,1		
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2010		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	figyelembe vett körülmények: védeeltség, területhasználat, vízellátottság, szukcessziós viszonyok		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A		
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	0		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)			
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine Improved knowledge/more accurate data		

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Drying out (K01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Restructuring agricultural land holding (A10)	low importance (L)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to groundwater (point sources and diffuse sources) (H02)	low importance (L)	N/A
eutrophication (natural) (K02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	low importance (L)	N/A
hand raking (F04.02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	low importance (L)	N/A

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Cultivation (A01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Drying out (K01.03)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Water abstractions from surface waters (J02.06)	medium importance (M)	N/A
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to groundwater (point sources and diffuse sources) (H02)	low importance (L)	N/A
Dykes, embankments, artificial beaches, general (J02.12)	low importance (L)	N/A
Silting up (K01.02)	low importance (L)	N/A
eutrophication (natural) (K02.03)	low importance (L)	N/A
Biocenotic evolution, succession (K02)	low importance (L)	N/A
intensive fish farming, intensification (F01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Az országos állomány méretének megállapítását nehezíti a vízjárástól függő erős fluktuáció, aminek következménye lehet, hogy régi állományok tűnnek el, újak kerülnek elő, azonban a régi lelőhelyek állománya kedvező feltételek esetén újra megelenhet.

Mivel az eddigi hajtásszám megadása nem vezetett egységes eredményre, a faj szakértőinek javaslata alpján az állományadatokat m2 levélfelület-egységben adjuk meg, mert ez a számlálási egység felel meg leginkább a faj morfológiából adódóan.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size	Unit	area coverd by population in m2 (area)		
	min	80	max	320
3.1.2 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)			
3.1.3 Trend of population size within	N/A			

3.2 Conservation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Specific single species or species group management measures (7.4)	One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Maintain Enhance
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Administrative Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Long term
Other species management measures (7.0)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	One-off	low importance (L)	Inside	Long term

**Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez
2013.**

Mételyfű (*Marsilea quadrifolia*)

II., IV. melléklet

