

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	1037
0.2.2 Species name	Ophiogomphus cecilia
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	erdei szitakötő

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

Dévai Gy., Miskolci M., Jakab T. (2009): A Bodrogközben végzett 2006. év odonatológiai felmérések eredményei. - Studia odonatologia hungarica, 10: 91-114.

Ficsór M. (2011): Adatok a folyami szitakötők (Odonata: Gomphidae) Északkelet-Magyarországi előfordulásához láravavizsgálatok alapján. - Acta Biol. Oecol. Hung., 26:67-74.

Tóth, S. (2010): A Dunántúli-dombság és környéke szitakötő faunája. – Natura Somogyiensis 16: 188.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentései.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	8565
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	

2.3.10 Reason for change

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit	number of individuals (i)		
	min	35000000	max	105000000

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit	N/A
	min	max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality	
	Conversion method	
	Problems	
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012	
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012	
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	confidence interval
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	min	max
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	N/A	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	number	
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown	No
	method	
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	35
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Good
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	víztestek hidromorfológiai jellemzői (partbiztosítás, duzzasztás), vízminőség
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	35
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
dredging/ removal of limnic sediments (J02.02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other sources

2.7 Main Threats

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
Sand and gravel extraction (C01.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status
N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit number of individuals (i)
min 32500000 max 95000000

3.1.2 Method used Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other species management measures (7.0)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Long term
Other wetland-related measures (4.0)	Administrative Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Enhance Long term
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Administrative Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Outside	Maintain Enhance

Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez
2013.

Erdei szitakötő

(*Ophiogomphus cecilia*)

II., IV. melléklet

