

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	5009
0.2.2 Species name	Pipistrellus pygmaeus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	szoprán törpedenevér

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

Pannonian (PAN)

Zsebők, S. & Bihari, Z. 2007. Szoprán törpedenevér *Pipistrellus pygmaeus*. Pp. 89-90. In: Bihari, Z., Csorba, G. & Heltai, M. (szerk.): Magyarország emlőseinek atlasza. Kossuth Kiadó, Budapest.

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	4760
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km ²) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 50000 max 150000
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012		
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)		
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number		
	operator	approximately equal to (≈)	
	unknown	No	
	method		

2.4.15 Reason for change

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	1758	
2.5.2 Year or period	2006	
2.5.3 Method used - habitat		Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate	
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Jó alkalmazkodőképességű faj, mely az élőhelyén folytatott erdőgazdálkodási tevékenységet relativé jól tolerálhatja.	
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012	
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	stable (0)	
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	1758	
2.5.10 Reason for change		

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Vandalism (G05.04)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures

based only on expert judgements (1)

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A
forestry clearance (B02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	high importance (H)	N/A
Landfill, land reclamation and drying out, general (J02.01)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Vandalism (G05.04)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats

expert opinion (1)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds
for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.2. Population assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.4. Future prospects assessment Favourable (FV)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of
Conservation Status Favourable (FV)

2.9.5 Overall trend in
Conservation Status N/A

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

**Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez
2013.**

Apró törpedenevér

(*Pipistrellus pygmaeus*)

IV. melléklet

