

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	2021
0.2.2 Species name	<i>Sicista subtilis</i>
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	csíkos szöcskeegér

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	700
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator more than (>) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	Use of different method

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit number of individuals (i) min 70 max 500
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality Conversion method Problems
2.4.4 Year or period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	stable (0)

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling (1)		
2.4.10 Long-term trend period			
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min	max	confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A		
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number	operator	approximately equal to (≈)
	unknown	No	
	method		
2.4.15 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		
2.5 Habitat for the Species			
2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	75,5		
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012		
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)		
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate		
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	<p>Ismeretlen a faj pontos élőhelyigénye, csak becsülni lehet. Leginkább az útszéli bogáncsos (<i>Carduetum acanthoidis</i>), valamint mezei aszatos (<i>Cirsio lanceolati – arvensis</i>) gyomvegetációból került megfogásra a csíkos szöcskegér a nagyacséri legelőn és környékén. Forrás:</p> <p>http://www.sicista.hu/documents/sicistafajmegorterv2010_01_13.pdf</p>		
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012		
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	unknown (x)		
2.5.7 Long-term trend period			
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A		
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	150		
2.5.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method		
2.6 Main Pressures			
Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	high importance (H)	N/A	
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.6.1 Method used – pressures	based only on expert judgements (1)		
2.7 Main Threats			
Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)	
modification of cultivation practices (A02)	high importance (H)	N/A	
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	high importance (H)	N/A	
use of biocides, hormones and chemicals (A07)	high importance (H)	N/A	
2.7.1 Method used – threats	expert opinion (1)		
2.8 Complementary Information			

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population
assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.3. Habitat
assessment Inadequate (U1)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.4. Future prospects
assessment Unknown (XX)
qualifiers N/A

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status
Inadequate (U1)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status
stable (=)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size
Unit number of individuals (i)
min 70 max 500

3.1.2 Method used
Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

3.1.3 Trend of population size within
N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Maintaining grasslands and other open habitats (2.1)	Administrative	high importance (H)	Inside	Long term
Other species management measures (7.0)	Contractual Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Inside	Long term

