

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

0.1 Member State	HU
0.2.1 Species code	5102
0.2.2 Species name	Theodoxus prevostianus
0.2.3 Alternative species scientific name	N/A
0.2.4 Common name	fekete csiga

1. National Level

1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.1a Sensitive species	No
1.1.2 Method used - map	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range map	Yes

2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region	Pannonian (PAN)
2.2 Published sources	

2.3 Range

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km ²)	0,01
2.3.2 Method - Range surface area	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	N/A
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	area (km ²) operator much more than (>>) unkown No method
2.3.10 Reason for change	

2.4 Population

2.4.1 Population size (individuals or agreed exception)	Unit N/A min max
2.4.2 Population size (other than individuals)	Unit number of localities (localities) min 3 max 3
2.4.3 Additional information	Definition of locality víztestek száma Conversion method Problems Az állomány természetes és emberi hatásra a jelentési időszakban jelentősen csökkent. A populáció regenerációja megindult, de az állományméret nem becsülhető megbízhatóan.

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.4.4 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.5 Method – population size	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.6 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.7 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.4.8 Short-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.9 Short-term trend method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.10 Long-term trend period	
2.4.11 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.4.12 Long-term trend magnitude	min max confidence interval
2.4.13 Long-term trend method	N/A
2.4.14 Favourable reference population	number operator much more than (>>) unknown No method
2.4.15 Reason for change	Genuine

2.5 Habitat for the Species

2.5.1 Surface area - Habitat (km ²)	0,01
2.5.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.5.3 Method used - habitat	Complete survey/Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate (3)
2.5.4 a) Quality of habitat	Moderate
2.5.4 b) Quality of habitat - method	Vízhozam, vízhőmérséklet, vízminőség, köves aljzat megléte
2.5.5 Short term trend period	2001-2012
2.5.6 Short term trend direction	decrease (-)
2.5.7 Long-term trend period	
2.5.8 Long term trend direction	N/A
2.5.9 Area of suitable habitat (km ²)	0,01
2.5.10 Reason for change	Genuine

2.6 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Removal of sediments (mud...) (J02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other

2.7 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Pollution to surface waters (limnic & terrestrial, marine & brackish) (H01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Removal of sediments (mud...) (J02.02)	high importance (H)	N/A
reduction or loss of specific habitat features (J03.01)	high importance (H)	N/A

2.7.1 Method used – threats modelling (2)

2.8 Complementary Information

Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

2.8.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

2.8.2 Other relevant Information

Mivel a faj jelenleg csak egyetlen forrásban és patakban (Kácsi-patak) fordul elő. Az állomány igen sérülékeny.

Az állomány természetes és emberi hatásra jelentési időszakban jelentősen csökkent. A populáció regenerációja megindult, de az állomány méret nem becsülhető megbízhatóan.

A 2.5.1-es pontban az élőhely valós mérete 0,002 km², a patak szakasz hosszából és szélességből számolva, de a beírható legkisebb érték 0,01 km².

2.5.9 a faj számára megfelelő élőhely méretét 0,004 km²-re becsültük, de az adatlapra beírható legkisebb érték (0.01km²) került.

2.8.3 Trans-boundary assessment

2.9 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

2.9.1 Range

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers stable (=)

2.9.2. Population

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.3. Habitat

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.4. Future prospects

assessment Bad (U2)
qualifiers unknown (x)

2.9.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Bad (U2)

2.9.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

unknown (x)

3. Natura 2000 coverage and conservation measures - Annex II species

3.1 Population

3.1.1 Population Size

Unit N/A
min max

3.1.2 Method used

N/A

3.1.3 Trend of population size within

N/A

3.2 Conversation Measures

