NATIONAL LEVEL		
1. General information		
1.1 Member State	ни	
1.2 Species code	4027	
1.3 Species scientific name	Arytrura musculus	
1.4 Alternative species scientific name		
1.5 Common name (in national language)	keleti lápibagoly	
2. Maps		

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
2.5 Additional maps	No

3. Information related to Annex V Species (Art. 14)			
3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No		
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.14 have been taken?	a) regulations regarding access to property	No	
	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	No	
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No	
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No	
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No	
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No	
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No	
	h) other measures	No	

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 1 of 6

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics/quantity per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period					
	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used

3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

4.2 Sources of information

Pannonian (PAN)

"A közösségi jelentőségű természeti értékek hosszú távú megőrzését és fejlesztését, valamint az EU Biológiai Sokféleség Stratégia 2020 célkitűzéseinek hazai szintű megvalósítását megalapozó stratégiai vizsgálatok" (KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001) projekt adatai

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer 2013-2018 közt végzett felméréseinek jelentései.

Monitoring reports (2013-2018) of Hungarian Biodiversity Monitoring System

Ambrus A. - Szabadfalvi A. - Kőrösi Á. - Patalenszki A. (2015): A fokozottan védett keleti lápibagoly (Arytrura musculus) jelölés-visszafogásos populációvizsgálata egy természetkárosítási ügy kapcsán. Természetvédelmi Közlemények 21: 1–9.

Haraszthy L., Sáfián Sz. (szerk.) (2016): Védett állatfajok elterjedési atlasza Vas, Zala és Somogy megye Natura 2000 területein / Distribution atlas of protected species of animals in Natura 2000 sites of Vas, Zala and Somogy Counties. Somogy Természetvédelmi Szervezet, Somogyfajsz, pp. 1-216.

https://www.izeltlabuak.hu/faj/keleti-lapibagoly/talalatok Licensz: CC BY 4.0

5. Range

5.1 Surface area

2405

5.2 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 2 of 6

ii, iv and v species (An	nex B)		
5.3 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)		
5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	
5.5 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on	xtrapolation from a limited amou	unt of data
5.6 Long-term trend Period			
5.7 Long-term trend Direction			
5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	b) Maximum	
5.9 Long-term trend Method used			
5.10 Favourable reference range	a) Area (km²)		
	b) Operator	Approximately equal to (≈)	
	c) Unknown		
	d) Method		
5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range	Improved knowle	dge/more accurate data	
	The change is ma	nly due to: Improved knowled	lge/more accurate data
5.12 Additional information			

6. Population

6.1 Year or period	2013-2018
6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)	a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1) b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value 60
6.3 Type of estimate	Minimum
6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value
6.5 Type of estimate	
6.6 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
6.7 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
6.8 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)
6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimumb) Maximumc) Confidence interval
6.10 Short-term trend Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
6.11 Long-term trend Period	
6.12 Long-term trend Direction	

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 3 of 6

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

- a) Population size
- b) Operator

Approximately equal to (≈)

- c) Unknown
- d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

6.17 Additional information

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

Yes

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

- _____
- 7.6 Long-term trend Period7.7 Long-term trend Direction
- 7.8 Long-term trend Method used
- 7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Drainage (K02)	М
Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (LO1)	M
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	M
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	Н
Threat	Ranking
Drainage (KO2)	M

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 4 of 6

Modification of hydrological flow (K04)	M
Abiotic natural processes (e.g. erosion, silting up, drying out, submersion, salinization) (LO1)	М
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	Н

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

Yes

b) Indicate the status of measures Me

Measures identified and taken

9.2 Main purpose of the measures

Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species

9.3 Location of the measures taken

Both inside and outside Natura 2000

9.4 Response to the measures

Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Prevent conversion of (semi-) natural habitats into forests and of (semi-)natural forests into intensive forest plantation (CB01)

Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture (CA15)

Stop forest management and exploitation practices (CB06)

9.6 Additional information

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range

Good

b) Population

Good

c) Habitat of the species

Unknown

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

Conservation Status

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Favourable (FV)

11.3. Habitat for the species Favourable (FV)

11.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

11.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation

Stable (=)

Status

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 5 of 6

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 50

12.2 Type of estimate

Minimum

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

Stable (0)

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

12.6 Additional information

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.26. 10:17:15 Page 6 of 6

Az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019 Keleti lápibagoly (Arytrura musculus) II. és IV. melléklet Jelmagyarázat Előfordulás (Distribution) Forrás: Agrárminisztérium, 50 Kilometers Természetmegőrzési Főosztály