	NATIONAL LEVEL	
1. General information		
1.1 Member State	ни	
1.2 Species code	4012	
1.3 Species scientific name	Carabus hampei	
1.4 Alternative species scientific name		
1.5 Common name (in national language) beregi futrinka	
2. Maps		
2.1 Sensitive species	No	
2.2 Year or period	2013-2018	
2.3 Distribution map	Yes	
2.4 Distribution map Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate	
2.5 Additional maps	No	
3. Information related to Ar	nnex V Species (Art. 14)	
3.1 Is the species taken in the	No	

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild/exploited?	No	
3.2 Which of the measures in Art.	a) regulations regarding access to property	No
14 have been taken?	b) temporary or local prohibition of the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation	
	c) regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens	No
	d) application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations	No
	e) establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas	No
	f) regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale or transport for sale of specimens	No
	g) breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species	No

h) other measures

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 1 of 6

No

3.3 Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken		statistics/c ere seaso			-	
	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
	year 1	year 2	year 3	year 4	year 5	year 6
Min. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Max. (raw, ie. not rounded)						
Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No

- 3.4. Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild Method used
- 3.5. Additional information

BIOGEOGRAPHICAL LEVEL

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs

Pannonian (PAN)

4.2 Sources of information

Ködöböcz V. (2018): Újabb adatok Magyarország futóbogár-faunájához (Coleoptera: Carabidae), 2011-2018. – Folia Hist. Nat. Mus. Matr. 42: 83-140. A Nemezeti Biodiverzitás monitorozó rendszer 2013-2018 között végzett felméréseinek jelentései.

5. Range

5.1 Surface area	493
F. 2. Chart towns troud Davied	2007.20

5.2 Short-term trend Period 2007-20185.3 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)

5.4 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum

5.5 Short-term trend Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

5.6 Long-term trend Period

5.7 Long-term trend Direction

5.8 Long-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum b) Maximum

5.9 Long-term trend Method used

5.10 Favourable reference range a) Area (km²)

b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknown d) Method

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 2 of 6

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

5.12 Additional information

6.	$\mathbf{\nu}$	റ	n		12	TI	റ	n
U.		U	ν	u		u	U	

6.1 Year or period 2013-2018

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit) a) Unit number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 25

6.3 Type of estimate Minimum

6.4 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value

6.5 Type of estimate

6.6 Population size Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.7 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

6.8 Short-term trend Direction Stable (0)

6.9 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.10 Short-term trend Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.11 Long-term trend Period

6.12 Long-term trend Direction

6.13 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Confidence interval

6.14 Long-term trend Method used

6.15 Favourable reference population (using the unit in 6.2 or 6.4)

a) Population size

b) Operator Approximately equal to (≈)

c) Unknown

d) Method

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

6.17 Additional information

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 3 of 6

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat

a) Are area and quality of occupied habitat sufficient (for long-term survival)?

b) Is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality (for long-term survival)?

Yes

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

7.3 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

7.4 Short-term trend Direction

Stable (0)

7.5 Short-term trend Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

7.6 Long-term trend Period

7.7 Long-term trend Direction

7.8 Long-term trend Method used

7.9 Additional information

8. Main pressures and threats

8.1 Characterisation of pressures/threats

Pressure	Ranking
Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	M
Tillage practices in forestry and other soil management practices in forestry (B17)	M
Threat	Ranking
Threat Logging without replanting or natural regrowth (B05)	Ranking M

8.2 Sources of information

8.3 Additional information

9. Conservation measures

9.1 Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?

No

b) Indicate the status of measures

9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

9.3 Location of the measures taken

9.4 Response to the measures

9.5 List of main conservation measures

9.6 Additional information

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 4 of 6

10. Future prospects

10.1 Future prospects of parameters

a) Range Good

b) Population Good

c) Habitat of the species Good

10.2 Additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1. Range Favourable (FV)

11.2. Population Favourable (FV)

11.3. Habitat for the species Favourable (FV)

11.4. Future prospects Favourable (FV)

11.5 Overall assessment of Favourable (FV)
Conservation Status

11.6 Overall trend in Conservation

Status

11.7 Change and reasons for change in conservation status and conservation status trend

Stable (=)

a) Overall assessment of conservation status

No change

The change is mainly due to:

b) Overall trend in conservation status

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

11.8 Additional information

12. Natura 2000 (pSCIs, SCIs and SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (on the biogeographical/marine level including all sites where the species is present)

a) Unit

number of map 1x1 km grid cells (grids1x1)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 24

12.2 Type of estimate

2 Type of estimate

12.3 Population size inside the network Method used

Minimum

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

Stable (0)

12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

12.6 Additional information

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 5 of 6

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of % thresholds for trends

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

13.3 Other relevant Information

2019.11.26. 10:26:13 Page 6 of 6

