

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

CODE: 91F0

NAME: Riparian mixed forests of Quercus robur, Ulmus laevis and Ulmus minor, Fraxinus excelsior or Fraxinus angustifolia,

## 1. National Level

### 1.1 Maps

1.1.1 Distribution Map	Yes
1.1.2 Distribution Method	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
1.1.3 Year or period	2007-2012
1.1.4 Additional map	No
1.1.5 Range Map	Yes

## 2. Biogeographical Or Marine Level

2.1 Biogeographical Region

### Pannonian (PAN)

Bölöni J., Molnár Zs. & Kun A (2011): Magyarország Élőhelyei Vegetációtípusok leírása és határozója ÁNÉR 2011: MTA Ökológiai és Botanikai Kutatóintézete, Vácrátót.

Kevey B. (2008): Magyarország erdőtársulásai (Forest associations of Hungary). –. Tilia 14: 1-488.

A Nemzeti Biodiverzitás-monitorozó Rendszer keretében 2007-2012 között végzett felmérések kutatási jelentése

### 2.3 Range of the habitat type in the biogeographical region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area - Range (km <sup>2</sup> )	38184
2.3.2 Range method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.3.3 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.3.4 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.3.5 Short-term trend magnitude	min max
2.3.6 Long-term trend period	N/A
2.3.7 Long-term trend direction	min max
2.3.8 Long-term trend magnitude	area (km <sup>2</sup> ) operator approximately equal to (≈) unkown No method
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	
2.3.10 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

### 2.4 Area covered by Habitat

2.4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> )	350
2.4.2 Year or period	2007-2012
2.4.3 Method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)
2.4.4 Short-term trend period	2001-2012
2.4.5 Short-term trend direction	stable (0)
2.4.6 Short-term trend magnitude	min max

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

2.4.7 Short term trend method used	Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)	
2.4.8 Long-term trend period	N/A	
2.4.9 Long-term trend direction	min	max
2.4.10 Long-term trend magnitude		
2.4.11 Long term trend method used	N/A	
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	area (km) operator unknown method	more than (>) No
2.4.13 Reason for change	Improved knowledge/more accurate data	

## 2.5 Main Pressures

Pressure	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.5.1 Method used – pressures based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other information

## 2.6 Main Threats

Threat	ranking	pollution qualifier(s)
Forest and Plantation management & use (B02)	high importance (H)	N/A
damage caused by game (excess population density) (F03.01.01)	high importance (H)	N/A
invasive non-native species (I01)	high importance (H)	N/A
Modification of hydrographic functioning, general (J02.05)	high importance (H)	N/A
removal of dead and dying trees (B02.04)	medium importance (M)	N/A
problematic native species (I02)	medium importance (M)	N/A
Other human intrusions and disturbances (G05)	medium importance (M)	N/A
species composition change (succession) (K02.01)	low importance (L)	N/A

2.6.1 Method used – threats expert opinion (1)

## 2.7 Complementary Information

### 2.7.1 Species

*Quercus robur*

*Fraxinus excelsior*

*Fraxinus angustifolia* ssp. *Danubialis*

*Ulmus minor*

# **Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)**

*Ulmus laevis*

*Acer campestre*

*Carpinus betulus*

*Tilia cordata*

*Tilia tomentosa*

*Prunus padus*

*Prunus avium*

*Cornus sanguinea*

*Viburnum opulus*

*Frangula alnus*

*Corylus avellana*

*Crataegus laevigata*

*Acer tataricum*

*Anemone spp.*

*Asarum europaeum*

*Galanthus nivalis*

*Carex sylvatica*

*Carex pilosa*

*Corydalis spp.*

*Scilla spp.*

*Gagea lutea*

*Gelaobdolon luteum*

*Galium odoratum*

*Lathyrus vernus*

*Maianthemum bifolium*

*Milium effusum*

*Pteridopsida*

*Polygonatum spp.*

*Egopodium podagraria*

*Brachypodium sylvaticum*

*Calamagrostis epigeios*

*Dactylis spp.*

*Geum urbanum*

*Geranium robertianum*

*Galium aparine*

*Urtica dioica*

*Prunus spinosa*

*Rubus caesius*

*Sambucus nigra*

*Acer egundo*

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Fraxinus pennsylvanica

Amorpha fruticosa

Asclepias syriaca

Aster adv. spp.

Impatiens adv. spp.

Oenothera spp.

## 2.7.2 Species method used

NBmR 5x5 km-es kvadrátok és N2000 területek élőhelytérképezése, az NBmR monitorozásra kiválasztott társulásainak cönológiai felvételezése, valamint a közösségi jelentőségű élőhelytípusok monitorozása eredményeinek összegzése és értékelése alapján.

## 2.7.3 Justification of % - thresholds for trends

## 2.7.4 Structure and functions - methods used

## 2.7.5 Other relevant information

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

A struktúra-funkció megítélése 5 komponensű (fajkészlet, fragmentáltság, inváziós fertőzöttség, termőhelyi sérülékenység, kezelések sikeressége) szempontrendszer alapján történt.

## 2.8 Conclusions (assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)

### 2.8.1 Range

assessment Favourable (FV)

qualifiers N/A

### 2.8.2 Area

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers stable (=)

### 2.8.3 Specific structures and functions (incl Species)

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

### 2.8.4 Future prospects

assessment Inadequate (U1)

qualifiers declining (-)

### 2.8.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status

Inadequate (U1)

### 2.8.5 Overall trend in Conservation Status

declining (-)

## 3. Natura 2000 coverage conservation measures - Annex I habitat types on biogeographical level

### 3.1 Area covered by habitat

#### 3.1.1 Surface area (km<sup>2</sup>)

min 260 max 300

#### 3.1.2 Method used

Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling (2)

#### 3.1.3. Trend of surface area

N/A

### 3.2 Conversation Measures

3.2.1 Measure	3.2.2 Type	3.2.3 Ranking	3.2.4 Location	3.2.5 Broad Evaluation
Other forestry-related measures (3.0)	Legal Administrative Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term

# Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 17 for annex I habitat types (Annex D)

Restoring/improving forest habitats (3.1)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Adapt forest management (3.2)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Other wetland-related measures (4.0)	Recurrent	medium importance (M)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Restoring/improving the hydrological regime (4.2)	Contractual Recurrent	high importance (H)	Both	Maintain Enhance Long term
Establish protected areas/sites (6.1)	Legal Recurrent	high importance (H)	Inside	Maintain Enhance Long term

Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez  
2013.

**91F0** Keményfás ligeterdők

