

speciesname *Pulsatilla patens* fajnév **tátogó kökörcsin**
 melléklet **II** speciescode **1477**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites

Range

2.3.1 Surface range of the species in km2 99
 2.3.2 Date of range determination 2006
 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range Good e.g based on extensive surveys
 2.3.4 Range trend Decreasing (-)
 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional)
 2.3.6 Range trend period 1974-2006

2.3.7 range-reasons

Climate change
Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)
Indirect anthro(zoo)genic influence
Natural processes

and/or specify

Population

2.4.1 Population size estimation (minimum) 3
 2.4.1 Population size estimation (maximum) 3
 2.4.1 Population units Number of individuals
 2.4.2 Date of population estimation 2006
 2.4.3 Population-methods From comprehensive inventory
 2.4.4 Quality of population data Good e.g based on ext
 2.4.5 Population trend Decreasing (-)
 2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2)
 2.4.7 Population trend period 1974-2006

2.4.8 Population-reasons

Climate change
Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)
Indirect anthro(zoo)genic influence
Natural processes
Other (specify)

and/or specify

A rövid idő alatt minimális egyedszámra csökkent populáció esetleges genetikai problémái (esetleges beltenyészet, hibridizáció egyéb Pulsatilla fajokkal).

2.4.10 Population-pressures

954 - invasion by a species
976 - damage by game species
979 - other forms or mixed forms of interspecific floral competition
990 Other natural processes

2.4.11 Population-threats

954 - invasion by a species
976 - damage by game species
979 - other forms or mixed forms of interspecific floral competition
990 Other natural processes

Habitat

2.5.1 Habitats for the species 6260

speciesname	<i>Pulsatilla patens</i>	fajnév	tátogó kökörcsin
melléklet	II	speciescode	1477

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2)	1
2.5.3 Date of estimation	2006
2.5.4 Quality of the data	Good e.g based on extensive surveys
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat	Decreasing (-)
2.5.6 Trend period	1974-2006

2.5.7 Habitat-reasons

Other (specify)

Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)
Indirect anthro(zoo)genic influence
Natural processes

Reference values

2.6 Future prospects for the species Bad prospects - species likely to be beco

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2) 200

Qualifier

2.7.2 Favourable reference population 200

Qualifier

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species 5

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional)

Kipusztulás szélén álló növényfaj. A jelenlegi előfordulási helyen kívüli potenciális élőhelyekre csak mesterséges beavatkozással tud visszatelepülni. A jelenlegi töredékpopuláció sorsa is bizonytalan.

Conclusions

Conclusions: (2.3) Range Bad and deteriorating (U2-)

Conclusions: (2.4) Population Bad and deteriorating (U2-)

Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species Inadequate (U1)


Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects Bad (U2)

Conclusions: Overall assessment Bad (U2)



Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez 2007.

Tátogó kökőrcsin (*Pulsatilla patens*)

II., IV. melléklet



Jelmagyarázat

-  Elterjedés (range)
-  Kiterjedés (distribution)

