

speciesname *Pyrus magyarica* fajnév * magyar vadkörte
 melléklet II p speciescode 4112

2.2 Published sources and/or websites

Bóhm É. I. 1998: A *Pyrus magyarica* Terpó és a *Pyrus x karpatiana* Terpó elkülönítő bélyegei a *Pyrus pyraeaster* Burgsd.-tól. *Kitaibelia* 3(1):
 Bóhm É. I. 2007: Fokozottan védett növényfajunk, a magyar vadkörte (*Pyrus magyarica* Terpó) valamint alakkörének kutatása Magyarországon *Tilia* Vol. XIII. Sopron.

Range

2.3.1 Surface range of the species in km2 700
 2.3.2 Date of range determination 2006
 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range Good e.g based on extensive surveys
 2.3.4 Range trend Stable (=)
 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional)
 2.3.6 Range trend period 1997-2006
 2.3.7 range-reasons Not applicable
 and/or specify

Population

2.4.1 Population size estimation (minimum) 30
 2.4.1 Population size estimation (maximum) 40
 2.4.1 Population units Number of individuals
 2.4.2 Date of population estimation 2006
 2.4.3 Population-methods From comprehensive inventory
 2.4.4 Quality of population data Good e.g based on ext
 2.4.5 Population trend Stable (=)
 2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2)
 2.4.7 Population trend period 1997-2006
 2.4.8 Population-reasons Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)
 Improved knowledge/more accurate data
 Natural processes

and/or specify

2.4.10 Population-pressures 160 General Forestry management
 165 - removal of forest undergrowth
 166 - removal of dead and dying trees
 400 Urbanised areas, human habitation
 420 Discharges
 502 - roads, motorways
 511 - electricity lines
 950 Biocenotic evolution
 976 - damage by game species
 2.4.11 Population-threats 400 Urbanised areas, human habitation
 420 Discharges
 502 - roads, motorways
 511 - electricity lines
 950 Biocenotic evolution
 976 - damage by game species

Habitat

2.5.1 Habitats for the species 40A0, 91M0, 91H0

speciesname *Pyrus magyarica* fajnév * magyar vadvörte

melléklet II p speciescode 4112

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2) 0,33
2.5.3 Date of estimation 2006
2.5.4 Quality of the data Good e.g based on extensive surveys
2.5.5 Trend of the habitat Stable (=)
2.5.6 Trend period 1997-2006

2.5.7 Habitat-reasons

Other (specify)

Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)
--

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Indirect anthropo(zoo)genic influence

Reference values

2.6 Future prospects for the species Poor prospects - species likely to struggle

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2) 700

Qualifier

2.7.2 Favourable reference population 40

Qualifier

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species 1

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional)

Conclusions

Conclusions: (2.3) Range Favourable (FV)

Conclusions: (2.4) Population Inadequate (U1)

Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species Inadequate (U1)


Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects Inadequate (U1)

Conclusions: Overall assessment Inadequate (U1)



Térképmelléklet az élőhelyvédelmi irányelv 17. cikke alapján készített országjelentéshez 2007.

Magyar vadkörte (*Pyrus magyarica*)*

II., IV. melléklet



Jelmagyarázat

-  Elterjedés (range)
-  Kiterjedés (distribution)

