1. Species information	
<ul> <li>1.1 Member State</li> <li>1.2 Species code</li> <li>1.3 EURING code</li> <li>1.4 Species scientific name</li> <li>1.5 Subspecific population</li> <li>1.6 Alternative species scientific name</li> <li>1.7 Common name</li> <li>1.8 Season</li> </ul>	Hungary A054 1890 Anas acuta nyílfarkú réce Passage (P)
2. Population size	
2.1 Year or period 2.2 Population size	2015-2018a) Unitnumber of individuals (i)b) Minimum840c) Maximum1260d) Best single value
<ul><li>2.3 Type of estimate</li><li>2.4 Population size Method used</li><li>2.5 Sources</li></ul>	Best estimate Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)	No change The change is mainly due to:
2.7 Additional information	Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 600-900. I considered only months during migration. Considering that many wetland areas are not covered by this program, I corrected the value upwards by 40%.
3. Population trend	
3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	
<ul><li>3.1.1 Short-term trend Period</li><li>3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction</li><li>3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude</li></ul>	2007-2018 Fluctuating (F) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
<ul><li>3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used</li><li>3.1.5 Sources</li></ul>	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)	

<ul><li>3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period</li><li>3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction</li><li>3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude</li></ul>	1996-2018 Decreasing (-) a) Minimum	0
	b) Maximum c) Best single value	27
3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used	Complete survey or a	statistically robust estimate
3.2.5 Sources	Expert opinions	
	Magyarországon. Dok	nuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai tori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p. yar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Monitoring database
3.3 Additional information	database values betw migration. The values Long-term trend is de baseline was 1996 (81 Monitoring database	d, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring een 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during are strongly fluctuating. creasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the .8), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl values (600-900) were compared to. I considered only onths. Faragó's study (2017) also determined long-term

## 4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	
4.3 Breading distribution map	N
4.4 Breading distribution	
surface area 4.5 Breading distribution Method used	
4.5 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	
4.8 Additional information	

## 5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)		
<ul> <li>5.1.1 Short-term trend Period</li> <li>5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction</li> <li>5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude</li> </ul>	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value	
5.1.5 Sources		
5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)		
5.2.1 Long-term trend Period 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction 5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	
2020. május 21.	Page 2	of 5

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used 5.2.5 Sources 5.3 Additional information	b) Maximum c) Best single value
	d to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)
<ul> <li>6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?</li> <li>6.1 Type of international plan</li> <li>6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?</li> </ul>	No Management Plan (MP) No
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS	The species' most important habitats are protected. Habitat restoration. Huntir restrictions in the most important migration stop-overs. Prohibition of the use clead pellet in the most important habitats. Waterbirds monitoring in the 48 mo important water habitats and wetlands.
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)	()
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)	improving (improving)
6.6 Sources of further Information	

## 7. Main pressures and threats

a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (professional) (G05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (professional) (G05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

#### 7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

# 8. Main Conservation Measures8.1 Status of measuresMeasures identified and taken8.2 Main purpose of the measures takenExpand the current distribution of the species8.3 Location of the measuresBoth inside and outside Natura 20008.4 Response to the measuresMedium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

#### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA05 - Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities

CG01 - Management of professional/commercial fishing (including shellfish and seaweed harvesting)

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CI02 - Management, control or eradication of established invasive alien species of Union concern

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

#### 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

a) Unit

number of individuals (i)

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

9.2 Type of estimate

2020. május 21.

9.3 Population size inside the networkMethod used9.4 Short-term trend of population size within

the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

### **10.** Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 Is/Will the information related to Annex II species (section 10) be provided forthe other season for this species?

No

10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?

#### No

10.2 Hunting bag	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)					
	b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics per hunting season or per year ( where season is not used) over the reporting period.					
		Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
	Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded		<u>n</u>		<u>n</u>		
	<b>Max.</b> (raw, i.e. not rounded						
	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No
10.3 Hunting bagMetho	d used	L	1	и	1	JL	1
10.4 Additional informa	tion						

**10.4 Additional information**