1. Species information				
 1.1 Member State 1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code 1.4 Species scientific name 1.5 Subspecific population 1.6 Alternative species scientific name 1.7 Common name 1.8 Season 	Hungary A052 1840 Anas crecca csörgő réce			
2. Population size	r assage (r)			
2.1 Year or period 2.2 Population size	2015-2018 a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value	number of individuals (i) 15400 25200		
2.3 Type of estimate2.4 Population size Method used2.5 Sources	Best estimate Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data Expert opinion Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases			
2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)	No change The change is mainly	due to:		
2.7 Additional information	Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 11000-18000. I considered only months during migration (typically october-november). Considering that many wetland areas are not covered by this program, I corrected the value upwards by 40%.			
3. Population trend				
3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)				
3.1.1 Short-term trend Period3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	2007-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value			
3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used3.1.5 Sources	Complete survey or a Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Ma Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfow	a statistically robust estimate gyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem /l Monitoring database		
2020. május 21.		Page 1 of		

National Park Directorates' databases

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)				
3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	1996-2018 Decreasing (-)			
3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	27		
	b) Maximum	55		
	c) Best single value			
3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used	Complete survey or a	a statistically robust estimate		
3.2.5 Sources	 Expert opinions Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases 			
3.3 Additional information	In the short-term tre database values betw migration (typically of change therefor the st that although the nativalues, most of them Long-term trend is do baseline was 1996 (2 Monitoring database only spring and auture	nd, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring veen 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during october-november). It seems that there is no significant short-term trend is stable. This is supported by the fact tional park directorates' databases show fluctuating have indicated stable or fluctuating short-term trend. ecreasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the 4681), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl values (11000-18000) were compared to. I considered mn months.		

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period4.3 Breading distribution map	No
 4.4 Breading distribution surface area 4.5 Breading distribution Method used 4.6 Additional maps 4.7 Sources 4.8 Additional information 	No
5. Breeding range trend	
5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	
5.1.1 Short-term trend Period 5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction 5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used	-,

5.1.5 Sources	
5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980))
5.2.1 Long-term trend Period 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction 5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used 5.2.5 Sources 5.3 Additional information	
6. Progress in work relate Management Plans (MPs)	ed to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)
6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? 6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the	No No plan (NA) No
intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures	

6.4 Assessment of the effectivess
of SAPs for globally threatened
species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess
of MPs for huntable species in
non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7,
Management Plans)

and initiatives taken related to the

international SAP/MP/BMS

6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures	
8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current distribution, population and/or habitat for the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA15 - Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CG04 - Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

CN02 - Implement climate change adaptation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage		
9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network	a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum d) Best single value	number of individuals (i) 12320 20160
9.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate	
9.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extra	apolation from a limited amount of data
9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)	

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

9.6 Additional information

80% of the passage population.

10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 Is/Will the information re Annex II species (section 10) b forthe other season for this sp	elated to be provided becies?	No					
10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?		No					
10.2 Hunting bag	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)					
	b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.				(where	
		Season/ Year 1	Season/ Year 2	Season/ Year 3	Season/ Year 4	Season/ Year 5	Season/ Year 6
	Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded						
	Max. (raw, i.e. not rounded						
	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No
10.3 Hunting bagMethod used	ł						

10.4 Additional information