1. Species information	
 1.1 Member State 1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code 1.4 Species scientific name 1.5 Subspecific population 1.6 Alternative species scientific name 1.7 Common name 1.8 Season 	Hungary A053 1860 Anas platyrhynchos tőkés réce Passage (P)
2. Population size	
2.1 Year or period2.2 Population size	2015-2018a) Unitnumber of individuals (i)b) Minimum42000c) Maximum70000d) Best single value
2.3 Type of estimate2.4 Population size Method used2.5 Sources	Best estimate Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data Expert opinion Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases
2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)	No change The change is mainly due to:
2.7 Additional information	Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 30000-50000. I considered only months during migration (typically october-november). Considering that many wetland areas are not covered by this program, I corrected the value upwards by 40%.
3. Population trend	
3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	
3.1.1 Short-term trend Period3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	2007-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used 3.1.5 Sources	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
2020 máius 21	Page 1 of

National Park Directorates' databases

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)				
3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	1996-2018 Decreasing (-)			
3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	29		
	b) Maximum	57		
	c) Best single value			
3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate			
3.2.5 Sources	Expert opinions Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Kiadó, 304 p. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases			
3.3 Additional information	database values between migration. It seems that trend is stable. Long-term trend is decre baseline was 1996 (7024	I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring n 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during there is no significant change therefor the short-term rasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the 4), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl ues (30000-50000) were compared to. I considered months.		

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	
4.3 Breading distribution map	No
4.4 Breading distribution	
surface area	
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	
4.8 Additional information	
5. Breeding range trend	
5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	
5.1.1 Short-term trend Period	
5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction	
5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum
	c) Best single value
5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used	
5.1.5 Sources	
5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)	
2020. május 21.	Page 2 of 5
-	-

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used5.2.5 Sources5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?	Νο
6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?	No plan (NA) No
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS	
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)	()
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)	()
6.6 Sources of further Information	

7. Main pressures and threats

a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (professional) (G05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)

Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)

inside the Member State (inMS)

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Freshwater fish and shellfish harvesting (professional) (G05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	e H	inside the Member State (inMS)

Н

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures	
8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Expand the current distribution of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA15 - Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture

CG01 - Management of professional/commercial fishing (including shellfish and seaweed harvesting)

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CI02 - Management, control or eradication of established invasive alien species of Union concern

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network a) Unit b) Minimum c) Maximum number of individuals (i) 33600 56000

	d) Best single value
9.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate
9.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Stable (0)
9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
9.6 Additional information	80% of the passage population.

10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 Is/Will the information r Annex II species (section 10) I forthe other season for this s	be provided	No					
10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?		Yes					
10.2 Hunting bag	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)					
	b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.					(where
		Season/ Year 1	Season/ Year 2	Season/ Year 3	Season/ Year 4	Season/ Year 5	Season/ Year 6
	Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded	44895	46724	32320	40133	42850	26067
	Max. (raw, i.e. not rounded	44895	46724	32320	40133	42850	26067
	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No
10.3 Hunting bagMethod used	d	Complete	e survey o	or a statist	ically robu	ust estima	te
10.4 Additional information							