1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A061
1.3 EURING code 2030

1.4 Species scientific name Aythya fuligula

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name kontyos réce 1.8 Season Winter (W)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

2015-2018

a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum 3000c) Maximum 3500

d) Best single value

Best estimate

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

National Park Directorates' databases

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Genuine change

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

2.7 Additional information

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 500-1000. I considered only the January data. Considering that many parts of Danube river where the species wintered are not covered by this program, I corrected the value upwards. I have also compared to common pochard which winters in smaller quantities, therefore I raised the values independently from the previous results.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Fluctuating (F)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi

2020. május 21. Page 1 of 5

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

2 2 1	Long-tern	trend	Period
J.Z.I	LUNGTERN	uenu	renou

3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

3.3 Additional information

1996-2018 Decreasing (-)

a) Minimum 74 b) Maximum 87

c) Best single value

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai

Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p.

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

National Park Directorates' databases

In the short-term trend, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values between 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during migration. The values are strongly fluctuating.

Long-term trend is decreasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the baseline was 1996 (3827), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values (500-1000) were compared to. I considered only January data. Faragó's study (2017) also determined long-term decline.

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species

No

No

4.2 Year or period

4.3 Breading distribution map

4.4 Breading distribution

surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used

4.6 Additional maps

No

4.7 Sources

4.8 Additional information

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

2020. május 21. Page 2 of 5

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? 6.1 Type of international plan No plan (NA) 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the No intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS 6.4 Assessment of the effectivess () of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans) 6.5 Assessment of the effectivess () of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans) 6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Hunting (G07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

2020. május 21. Page 3 of 5

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Hunting (G07)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken		
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Increase the population size and/or improve population dynamics (improve reproduction success, reduce mortality, improve age/sex structure)		
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000		
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)		

8.5 List of main conservation measures

 ${\sf CG02-Management}\ of\ hunting,\ recreational\ fishing\ and\ recreational\ or\ commercial\ harvesting\ or\ collection\ of\ plants$

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)
(SPA) network	b) Minimum	2400
	c) Maximum	2800
	1/ 5	

	d) Best single value
9.2 Type of estimate	Best estimate
9.3 Population size inside the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction	Fluctuating (F)
9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
9.6 Additional information	80% of the wintering population.

2020. május 21. Page 4 of 5

10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 Is/Will the information related to Annex II species (section 10) be provided forthe other season for this species?

10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?

No

No

10.2 Hunting bag

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken

Min.
(raw, i.e. not rounded

Max.
(raw, i.e. not rounded

Unknown

10.3 Hunting bagMethod used

10.4 Additional information

number of individuals (i)

Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.

Season/ Year 1	Season/ Year 2	Season/ Year 3	Season/ Year 4	Season/ Year 5	Season/ Year 6
No	No	No	No	No	No

2020. május 21. Page 5 of 5