## Annex B - Bird Species' status and trends report (Article 12)

## 1. Species information

1.1 Member State
1.2 Species code
1.3 EURING code
1.4 Species scientific name
1.5 Subspecific population
1.6 Alternative species scientific name
1.7 Common name
1.8 Season

Hungary

A061
2030
Aythya fuligula
kontyos réce
Winter (W)

## 2. Population size

2.1 Year or period
2.2 Population size
2.3 Type of estimate
2.4 Population size Method used 2.5 Sources
2.6 Change and reason for change
(since previous report)

2015-2018
a) Unit number of individuals (i)
b) Minimum 3000
c) Maximum 3500
d) Best single value

Best estimate
Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
Expert opinions
Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem
Kiadó, 304 p.
Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
National Park Directorates' databases

Genuine change
The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 500-1000. I considered only the January data. Considering that many parts of Danube river where the species wintered are not covered by this program, I corrected the value upwards. I have also compared to common pochard which winters in smaller quantities, therefore I raised the values independently from the previous results.

## 3. Population trend

### 3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period
3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction
3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude
3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used
3.1.5 Sources

2007-2018
Fluctuating (F)
a) Minimum
b) Maximum
c) Best single value

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
Expert opinions
Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi
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Kiadó, 304 p.
Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
National Park Directorates' databases
3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)
3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period
3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used
3.2.5 Sources
3.3 Additional information

1996-2018
Decreasing (-)
a) Minimum 74
b) Maximum

87
c) Best single value

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
Expert opinions
Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p.
Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Kiadó, 304 p.
Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database
National Park Directorates' databases
In the short-term trend, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values between 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during migration. The values are strongly fluctuating.
Long-term trend is decreasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the baseline was 1996 (3827), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values (500-1000) were compared to. I considered only January data. Faragó's study (2017) also determined long-term decline.

## 4. Breeding distribution map and size

### 4.1 Sensitive species No

4.2 Year or period
4.3 Breading distribution map No
4.4 Breading distribution
surface area
4.5 Breading distribution Method used
4.6 Additional maps No
4.7 Sources
4.8 Additional information

## 5. Breeding range trend

### 5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period
5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction
5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude
a) Minimum
b) Maximum
c) Best single value
5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used
5.1.5 Sources
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5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)
5.2.1 Long-term trend Period
5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
a) Minimum
b) Maximum
c) Best single value
5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used
5.2.5 Sources
5.3 Additional information

## 6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

$6.0 \mathrm{Is} /$ Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?
6.1 Type of international plan
6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)
6.6 Sources of further Information

## No

No plan (NA)
No
()
7. Main pressures and threats

| a) Pressure | b) Ranking | c) location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hunting (G07) | M | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Physical alteration of water bodies (K05) | M | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change <br> (NO2) | H | inside the Member State (inMS) |
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a) Threat

Hunting (G07)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)

## d) Ranking

M
M
H
e) location
inside the Member State (inMS) inside the Member State (inMS) inside the Member State (inMS)

### 7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

## 8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
8.3 Location of the measures
8.4 Response to the measures

Measures identified and taken
Increase the population size and/or improve population dynamics (improve reproduction success, reduce mortality, improve age/sex structure)

Both inside and outside Natura 2000
Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 20192030)

### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CJO2 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes
CNO1 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures
8.6 Additional information

## 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

### 9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used
9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
9.6 Additional information
a) Unit number of individuals (i)
b) Minimum 2400
c) Maximum 2800
d) Best single value

Best estimate
Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Fluctuating (F)

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data
$80 \%$ of the wintering population.
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## 10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 ls/Will the information related to Annex II species (section 10) be provided forthe other season for this species?
10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?
10.2 Hunting bag
a) Unit
b) Statistics/ quantity taken

Min.
(raw, i.e. not rounded

Max.
(raw, i.e. not rounded

Unknown

No

No
number of individuals (i)
Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.

| Season/ <br> Year 1 | Season/ <br> Year 2 | Season/ <br> Year 3 | Season/ <br> Year 4 | Season/ <br> Year 5 | Season/ <br> Year 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | No | No | No | No | No |

10.3 Hunting bagMethod used
10.4 Additional information

