1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A067
1.3 EURING code 2180

1.4 Species scientific name Bucephala clangula

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name kerceréce 1.8 Season Winter (W)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period 2015-2018

2.2 Population size a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum 8000 c) Maximum 10000

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate Best estimate

2.4 Population size Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

2.5 Sources

Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Genuine change

The change is mainly due to: Genuine change

2.7 Additional information

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 1400-2600. I considered only the January data. I considered the national park directorates data too, and these values were much higher than the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database. I corrected the value upwards.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction Fluctuating (F)

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used Complete sur

3.1.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

2020. május 21. Page 1 of 5

National Park Directorates' databases

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period

3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1996-2018 Decreasing (-)

a) Minimum 35

b) Maximum 65

c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai

Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p.

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

National Park Directorates' databases

3.3 Additional information In the short-term trend, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring

database values between 2007 and 2018. I considered only January data. The

values are strongly fluctuating.

Long-term trend is decreasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the baseline was 1996 (3997), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl

Monitoring database values (1400-2600) were compared to. I considered only

January data. Faragó's study (2017) also determined long-term decline.

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species

No

4.2 Year or period

4.3 Breading distribution map

No

4.4 Breading distribution

surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used

4.6 Additional maps

No

4.7 Sources

4.8 Additional information

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

2020. május 21. Page 2 of 5

- 5.2.1 Long-term trend Period
- 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
- 5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value
- 5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used
- 5.2.5 Sources
- 5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?
6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in

Nο

No plan (NA) No

()

()

of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Hunting (G07)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

2020. május 21. Page 3 of 5

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Hunting (G07)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Expand the current distribution of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

the network Method used

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)
(SPA) network	b) Minimum	6400
	c) Maximum	8000

d) Best single value

9.2 Type of estimate	Best estimat
----------------------	--------------

9.3 Population size inside the network

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within Fluctuating (F)

the network Direction
 Short-term trend of population size within
 Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

9.6 Additional information 80% of the wintering population.

10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

2020. május 21. Page 4 of 5

10.0 Is/Will the information related to Annex II species (section 10) be provided forthe other season for this species?

10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?

No

No

10.2 Hunting bag

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken

Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded Max. (raw, i.e. not

rounded
Unknown

10.3 Hunting bagMethod used

10.4 Additional information

number of individuals (i)

Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.

			Season/ Year 4		
No	No	No	No	No	No

2020. május 21. Page 5 of 5