## 1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary A264 1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code 10500

1.4 Species scientific name Cinclus cinclus

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name vízirigó 1.8 Season Breeding (B)

#### 2. Population size

2.3 Type of estimate

2.1 Year or period 2015-2017

2.2 Population size a) Unit number of pairs (p)

> b) Minimum 0 c) Maximum

d) Best single value Best estimate

2.4 Population size Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

2.5 Sources National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding

> and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

www.birding.hu

2.6 Change and reason for change Improved knowledge/more accurate data (since previous report)

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

#### 2.7 Additional information

3.1.5 Sources

## 3. Population trend

#### 3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period 2007-2017

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction Fluctuating (F)

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding

and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

www.birding.hu

#### 3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 1980-2017 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction Decreasing (-)

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

89

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Barta, Z.: Vízirigó In: Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. 441

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding

and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

www.birding.hu

3.3 Additional information

Barta (1998) describes the Hungarian population in 1980 with 19 pairs in 5 different mountain ranges. The mean (2) of the 0-4 pairs in the present report was compared with this figure to get the best single value for the long-term

### 4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species

No

4.2 Year or period

2014-2018

4.3 Breading distribution map

Yes

4.4 Breading distribution

677

surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

4.6 Additional maps

4.7 Sources

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

4.8 Additional information

## 5. Breeding range trend

#### 5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

2007-2018

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

Fluctuating (F)

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

c) Best single value Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

5.1.5 Sources

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding

and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

www.birding.hu

#### 5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

1980-2018

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

Decreasing (-)

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used 5.2.5 Sources

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data Horváth, R. (1993): A vízirigó (Cinclus cinclus) Magyarországon. Aquila, 100. 225-240. p.

Barta, Z.: Vízirigó In: Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. 441 p.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

Barta (1998) describes the Hungarian population in 1980 with 19 pairs in 5 different mountain ranges. Three of them had a single pair and one of them two pairs, while one had 14 pairs (Bükk). The mountains with small populations were considered to represent one location each, while the Bükk Mountain was considered to have had 4 locations based on the list of occupied mountain streams provided by Horváth (1993). Thus, it was considered that the locations totalled 8 in 1980. The 4 pairs occupied four grids in 2018, and these two figures were compared to get the best single value for the long-term trend.

# 6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?
6.1 Type of international plan
6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS

been adopted?
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)

6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

6.6 Sources of further Information

No

No plan (NA) No

()

()

## 7. Main pressures and threats

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

#### 7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

#### 8. Main Conservation Measures

- 8.1 Status of measures
- 8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken
- 8.3 Location of the measures
- 8.4 Response to the measures

#### 8.6 Additional information

## 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

a) Unit

number of pairs (p)

- b) Minimum
- c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

2020. május 22. Page 4 of 5

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5