1. Species information

1.1 Member StateHungary1.2 Species codeA0811.3 EURING code2600

1.4 Species scientific name Circus aeruginosus

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name barna rétihéja 1.8 Season breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

2014-2018

a) Unit number of breeding females (bfemales)

b) Minimum

c) Maximum

d) Best single value 9000

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.7 Additional information

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 552-555. puts the population between 7000-10000 pairs.

New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 316 breeding pairs of Circus aeruginosus were estimated for the 530 grids.

As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 8777 pairs can be calculated for the national population. From the national common bird monitoring, the population has been calculated to be 17596-18680 individuals, which was halved to get number of breeding females.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

า

Unknown (X)

2007-2018

2020. május 21.

Page 1 of 6

- 3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude
- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value
- 3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used 3.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Demeter I., Horváth M. & Prommer M. (2017): Az MME Ragadozómadárvédelmi Szakosztálya (RMvSz) által monitorozott fajok 2017-es költési eredményeinek összefoglalása. Heliaca 15: 74-75 p.

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 552-555.

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jelentes_2013_anyagai/Circus_aeruginosus.pdf

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

- 3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period
- 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
- 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
- 1980-2018 Fluctuating (F)
- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 45-46 p. Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 82 p.

Ecsedi Z. (szerk.) (2004): A Hortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros - Szeged. 2004. 203-205 p.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 84-85 p.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species)

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.3 Additional information

Haraszthy (1998) and Magyar et al (1998) estimated the population around 1990 at 1000-1500 pairs. Haraszthy (1984) did not make an estimate but explains that the population had seriously declined in the preceding 15 years, but started to slowly increase in 1981-1982. Later population estimates also showed an increase in the 1990s. The common bird census (MMM) showed a small increase in the first five years, then a decrease in the next five years, followed by a small increase for three years and then a stronger decrease till 2018. The long-term trend is thus best described as fluctuating, while the short-term trend is uncertain (its true direction may only be found out in a longer term).

2020. május 21. Page 2 of 6

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	2014-2018
4.3 Breading distribution map	Yes
4.4 Breading distribution surface area	55944
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	The map was compiled from the national bird atlas mapping (MAP) database, excluding the data with A1 breeding probability (observation in the breeding period, but no sign of breeding shown), to exclude observations of transient

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction	Stable (0)

- 5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum
 - b) Maximum

individuals.

c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

5.1.5 Sources http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel entes_2013_anyagai/Circus_aeruginosus.pdf

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon.

Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 552-555.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

nttp.//map.mme.mu/maps/m

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period	1980-2018	
5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	Increasing (+)	
5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	5
	b) Maximum	20
	c) Best single value	20

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest. 59-60 p.

National park directorates' databases (Annual survey of colonially breeding and strictly protected bird species) http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

5.2.5 Sources

2020. május 21. Page 3 of 6

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related No to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? 6.1 Type of international plan No plan (NA) 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the No intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS 6.4 Assessment of the effectivess () of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans) 6.5 Assessment of the effectivess () of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans) 6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Conversion from one type of agricultural land use to another (excluding drainage and burning) (A02)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Harvesting of crops and cutting of croplands (A17)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21)	M	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Illegal shooting/killing (G10)	M	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Poisoning of animals (excluding lead poisoning) (G13)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	М	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)

2020. május 21. Page 4 of 6

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Conversion from one type of agricultural land use to another (excluding drainage and burning) (A02)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Mowing or cutting of grasslands (A08)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Harvesting of crops and cutting of croplands (A17)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21)	М	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Illegal shooting/killing (G10)	М	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Poisoning of animals (excluding lead poisoning) (G13)	Н	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	М	both inside and outside EU (inOutEU)

7.2 Sources of information

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest. 59-60 p.

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 552-555.

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Restore the habitat of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA01 - Prevent conversion of natural and semi-natural habitats, and habitats of species into agricultural land

CA05 - Adapt mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities

CA06 - Stop mowing, grazing and other equivalent agricultural activities

CA09 - Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production

CA16 - Other measures related to agricultural practices

CG04 - Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

8.6 Additional information

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (2014): Natura 2000 fajok és élőhelyek Magyarországon. Pro Vértes Közalapítvány, Csákvár. p. 552-555.

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

a) Unit number of breeding females (bfemales)

b) Minimum 3000 **c)** Maximum 3500

d) Best single value

2020. május 21. Page 5 of 6

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

Best estimate

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Increasing (+)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

The SPA coverage of the population was estimated based on the number of 2.5x2.5 km grids where the species was observed compared to the subset of grids where the species was observed and which are also covered at least 50% by SPAs. This ratio was then applied to the national population estimate.

2020. május 21. Page 6 of 6

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

