1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A321
1.3 EURING code 13480

1.4 Species scientific name

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name

1.8 Season Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

2014-2018

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 76000 c) Maximum 81000

Ficedula albicollis

d) Best single value

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

Improved knowledge/more accurate data Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Use of different method

2.7 Additional information

New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6 % of the country. 1627 breeding pairs of Ficedula albicollis were estimated for the 530 grids.

As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 45194 pairs can be calculated for the national population. But for this species, the MMM estimate was used, as it is considered to be closer to reality (high density and a large population in the Northern Hills, certainly exceeding 40 000 pairs there alone).

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel

entes_2013_anyagai/Ficedula_albicollis.pdf

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National park directorates' databases

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period

3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Insufficient or no data available

Tucker, G. M. – Heath, M. F. (1994): Birds in Europe – Their Conservation Status. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, BirdLife International, 461 p. Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 114 p. Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 325-327 p.

Ecsedi Z. (szerk.) (2004): A Hortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros - Szeged. 2004. 482-483 p.

BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 242 p.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 198 p.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.3 Additional information

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	2014-2018
4.3 Breading distribution map	Yes
4.4 Breading distribution surface area	33924
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases
	http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel

entes 2013 anyagai/Ficedula albicollis.pdf

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018

Unknown (X)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

Insufficient or no data available

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?

6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the

intarnational SAP/MP/BMS

been adopted?

6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS

6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened

species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)

6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

Nο

No plan (NA)

No

()

()

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Conversion to other types of forests including monocultures (B02)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Replanting with or introducing non-native or non-typical species (including new species and GMOs) (B03)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Removal of dead and dying trees, including debris (B07)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Removal of old trees (excluding dead or dying trees) (B08)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Forest management reducing old growth forests (B15)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change (N05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Conversion to other types of forests including monocultures (B02)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Replanting with or introducing non-native or non-typical species (including new species and GMOs) (B03)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Logging (excluding clear cutting) of individual trees (B06)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Removal of dead and dying trees, including debris (B07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Removal of old trees (excluding dead or dying trees) (B08)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Forest management reducing old growth forests (B15)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change (N05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)

_	-	-				r	
- //	- '	50	urces	Ot	ını	nrmo	tion
//	ے ہ	30	ulces	OI.		Ullila	ILIOII

National park directorates' databases

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Restore the habitat of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CB01 - Prevent conversion of (semi-) natural habitats into forests and of (semi-)natural forests into intensive forest plantation

2020. május 22. Page 4 of 5

CB04 - Adapt/manage reforestation and forest regeneration

CB05 - Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices

CB06 - Stop forest management and exploitation practices

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 9000 **c) Maximum** 10000

d) Best single value

Best estimate

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Stable (0)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

The national park directorates estimated a stable population trend in SPAs, with local increases. Within the KEHOP project, 86 2.5x2.5 km grids were surveyed whose coverage with SPAs is over 50%, and these were used to estimate the population size within SPAs.

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

