| 1. Species information | |
|--|--|
| 1.1 Member State 1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code 1.4 Species scientific name 1.5 Subspecific population 1.6 Alternative species scientific name 1.7 Common name 1.8 Season | Hungary A127 4330 Grus grus daru Passage (P) |
| 2. Population size | |
| 2.1 Year or period2.2 Population size | 2013-2018a) Unitnumber of individuals (i)b) Minimum120000c) Maximum200000d) Best single value |
| 2.3 Type of estimate2.4 Population size Method used2.5 Sources | Best estimate Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hortobágy National Park Directorate's databases Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases |
| 2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report) | No change The change is mainly due to: |
| 2.7 Additional information | Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database + National Park Directorates' databases + Hortobágy National Park Directorate's database (news in internet about crane's monitoring). |
| 3. Population trend | |
| 3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years) | |
| 3.1.1 Short-term trend Period | 2007-2018 |
| 3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude | Increasing (+)a) Minimum37b) Maximum91c) Best single value |
| 3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used3.1.5 Sources | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Hortobágy National Park Directorate' database Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database National Park Directorates' databases |

| 3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction | 1996-2018 Increasing (+) | | | |
| 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude | a) Minimum | 1566 | | |
| | b) Maximum | 2233 | | |
| | c) Best single value | | | |
| 3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used | Complete survey or | a statistically robust estimate | | |
| 3.2.5 Sources | Ecsedi Z. (2004): A H | ortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi | | |
| | Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros-Szeged, 602 p. | | | |
| | Expert opinions | | | |
| | Farago, S. (2006): Av | Faragó, S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai | | |
| | Magyarorszagon. Doktori Ertekezes. Mellekletek. 305 p. | | | |
| | Hortobágy National Park Directorate's database | | | |
| | Hungarian Waterfow | /l Monitoring database | | |
| 3.3 Additional information | Short-term trend is k 2018. I considered o Monitoring database (36570), to what the Long-term trend. Hu 50000-70000. Accor | Dased on Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2007 nly the migration months. Hungarian Waterfowl 2015-2018: 50000-70000. The baseline was 2007 current values (50000-70000) were compared to. ngarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: ding to Ecsedi (2014) the baseline was 1981 (2000, but I | | |
| | corrected the value | bat the current Hungarian Waterfoud Monitoring | | |
| | database values (500 | 00-70000) were compared to. | | |
| 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude 3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used 3.2.5 Sources 3.3 Additional information | a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value Complete survey or a Ecsedi Z. (2004): A H Egyesület, Winter Fa Expert opinions Faragó, S. (2006): A M Magyarországon. Do Faragó S. (2017): Víz Hortobágy National Hungarian Waterfow Short-term trend is b 2018. I considered o Monitoring database (36570), to what the Long-term trend. Hu 50000-70000. Accord corrected the value upwards 3000), to w database values (500 | 1566 2233 a statistically robust estimate ortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi ir, Balmazújváros-Szeged, 602 p. vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai ktori Értekezés. Mellékletek. 305 p. ivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem Kiadó, 304 p. Park Directorate's database /l Monitoring database pased on Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2007 nly the migration months. Hungarian Waterfowl 2015-2018: 50000-70000. The baseline was 2007 current values (50000-70000) were compared to. ngarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: ding to Ecsedi (2014) the baseline was 1981 (2000, but I what the current Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring 000-70000) were compared to. | | |

4. Breeding distribution map and size

| 4.1 Sensitive species | No |
|--|----|
| 4.2 Year or period | |
| 4.3 Breading distribution map | |
| 4.4 Breading distribution surface area | |
| 4.5 Breading distribution Method used | |
| 4.6 Additional maps | |
| 4.7 Sources | |
| 4.8 Additional information | |
| 5. Breeding range trend | |
| 5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years) |) |
| 5.1.1 Short-term trend Period | |

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum b) Maximum
 - c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used 5.1.5 Sources

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used5.2.5 Sources5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

| 6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? | No |
|---|--------------------|
| 6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? | No plan (NA) No |
| 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS 6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened spacies (Art. 12, Spacies Action Plane) | () |
| 6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans) | () |
| 6.6 Sources of further Information | |

7. Main pressures and threats

| a) Pressure | b) Ranking | c) location |
|--|------------|--------------------------------|
| Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21) | Μ | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Hunting (G07) | Μ | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Poisoning of animals (excluding lead poisoning) (G13) | Н | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08) | Μ | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Physical alteration of water bodies (K05) | Н | inside the Member State (inMS) |

Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)

inside the Member State (inMS)

| () | | |
|---|------------|--------------------------------|
| a) Threat | d) Ranking | e) location |
| Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21) | Μ | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Hunting (G07) | М | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Poisoning of animals (excluding lead poisoning) (G13) | Н | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08) | М | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Physical alteration of water bodies (K05) | Н | inside the Member State (inMS) |
| Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02) | Н | inside the Member State (inMS) |

Н

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

| 8. Main Conservation Measures | |
|--|--|
| 8.1 Status of measures | Measures identified and taken |
| 8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken | Maintain the current distribution, population and/or habitat for the species |
| 8.3 Location of the measures | Both inside and outside Natura 2000 |
| 8.4 Response to the measures | Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019- 2030) |

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA09 - Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CG04 - Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting

CH03 - Reduce impact of other specific human actions

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

CJ03 - Restore habitats impacted by multi-purpose hydrological changes

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

CN02 - Implement climate change adaptation measures

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

a) Unit b) Minimum

c) Maximum

number of individuals (i) 108000 180000

2020. május 21.

| | d) Best single value |
|--|--|
| 9.2 Type of estimate | Best estimate |
| 9.3 Population size inside the network Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| 9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction | Increasing (+) |
| 9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| 9.6 Additional information | 90% of the passage population. |