## 1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A338
1.3 EURING code 15150

1.4 Species scientific name Lanius collurio

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name

1.8 Season Breeding (B)

## 2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2014-2018

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 150000 c) Maximum 170000

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.7 Additional information

New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6 % of the country. 5663 breeding pairs of Lanius collurio were estimated for the 530 grids.

As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 159017 pairs can be calculated for the national population. This figure was used here as a mean value, with a range estimated for minimum and maximum population.

# 3. Population trend

#### 3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Stable (0)

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/\_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD\_12\_jel

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

entes\_2013\_anyagai/Lanius\_collurio.pdf
National park directorates' databases
http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

#### 3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period

3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

1980-2018 Decreasing (-)

a) Minimum 23 b) Maximum 41

c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Tucker, G. M. – Heath, M. F. (1994): Birds in Europe – Their Conservation Status. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, BirdLife International, 410-411 p.

Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 119 p. Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 343-345 p.

BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 253 p.

Ecsedi Z. (szerk.) (2004): A Hortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros - Szeged. 2004. 500-502 p.

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 206 p.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

National common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) database.

3.3 Additional information

4.3 Breading distribution map

The national common bird monitoring scheme (MMM) has been running since 1999. There is no population trend data from before. For the minimum value, the minimum decrease calculated from the MMM for the 1999-2018 period has been used here, assuming that the population was stable before. The maximum value was calculated by using the rate of annual decline determined for the 1999-2018 period (-1.4%) and projecting it for the 1980-2018 period, assuming that this rate was valid also in the 1980-1999 period. The trend may have been different before 1999, but the intensification of agriculture, the main threat to the species, was also dominant in this period, so it can be assumed that indeed a decline took place.

## 4. Breeding distribution map and size

Yes

4.1 Sensitive species No
4.2 Year or period 2014-2018

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

4.4 Breading distribution surface area	93030
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases
	http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	

## 5. Breeding range trend

#### 5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period 5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

2007-2018 Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/ user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD 12 jel

entes 2013 anyagai/Lanius collurio.pdf National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

#### 5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period 1980-2018 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction Stable (0) 5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

# 6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? 6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS

Nο

No plan (NA)

No

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)

()

6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)

()

6.6 Sources of further Information

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union concern) (I02)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Removal of small landscape features for agricultural land parcel consolidation (hedges, stone walls, rushes, open ditches, springs, solitary trees, etc.) (A05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of	М	inside the Member State (inMS)

#### 7.2 Sources of information

grazing or mowing) (A06)

concern) (IO2)

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura, Budapest. 211-212 p.

M

M

inside the Member State (inMS)

inside the Member State (inMS)

#### 7.3 Additional information

### 8. Main Conservation Measures

Use of plant protection chemicals in agriculture (A21)

Other invasive alien species (other then species of Union

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Restore the habitat of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

#### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA03 - Maintain existing extensive agricultural practices and agricultural landscape features

CA09 - Manage the use of natural fertilisers and chemicals in agricultural (plant and animal) production

CI03 - Management, control or eradication of other invasive alien species

8.6 Additional information

## 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

**b) Minimum** 25000 **c) Maximum** 30000

d) Best single value

Best estimate

Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

Stable (0)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Within the KEHOP project, 86 2.5x2.5 km grids were surveyed whose coverage with SPAs is over 50%, and these were used to estimate the population size within SPAs.

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5

# A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

