1. Species information

1.1 Member State

1.2 Species code

1.3 EURING code

1.4 Species scientific name

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name

1.8 Season

Hungary A246 9740

Lullula arborea

Breeding (B)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period

2.2 Population size

2014-2018

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 8000c) Maximum 15000

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate

2.4 Population size Method used

2.5 Sources

Best estimate

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)

Improved knowledge/more accurate data

Use of different method

The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data

2.7 Additional information

New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 376 breeding pairs of Lullula arborea were estimated for the 530 grids. As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 10444 pairs can be calculated for the national population. This figure supported the minimum and maximum figures from the national common bird monitoring, and these latter figures were used in the present report.

3. Population trend

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

2007-2018

Uncertain (U)

a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value 81

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

3.1.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 6

entes 2013 anyagai/Lullula arborea.pdf National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

- 3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period
- 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction
- 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude
- 3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used
- 3.2.5 Sources

1980-2018

Decreasing (-)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value 69

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Tucker, G. M. – Heath, M. F. (1994): Birds in Europe – Their Conservation Status. Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, BirdLife International, 364-365 p.

Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. & Bankovics A. (1998): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 95 p. Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó, Budapest. 249-250 p.

Ecsedi Z. (szerk.) (2004): A Hortobágy madárvilága. Hortobágy Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Winter Fair, Balmazújváros - Szeged. 2004. 406-

MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. 161-162 p.

BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 184 p.

KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.3 Additional information

3.1.2.: The population figure in the 2013 report (minimum 1000 pairs) is probably underestimated. Improved knowledge has partly contributed to the higher population figures. The national common bird monitoring yielded an uncertain trend for the short-term. This monitoring has been running since 1999, and it suggests a decline for the 1999-2018 period. The same rate of decline has been applied for the 1980-2018 period, resulting in 69% decline. 3.2.2.: The figures in BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 284 p. are probably an overestimate (30000-75000 pairs).

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species No 4.2 Year or period 2014-2018 4.3 Breading distribution map Yes 4.4 Breading distribution 25547 surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 6

4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases
	http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1	Short-term	trend	Period	200
5.1.2	Short-term	trend	Direction	Stal

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

2007-2018 Stable (0)

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel

entes_2013_anyagai/Lullula_arborea.pdf National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period	1980-2018
5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	Decreasing (-)
5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum

a) Minimum 30 b) Maximum 50 c) Best single value 50

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura,

Budapest. 135-137 p.

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó,

Budapest. 249-250 p.

National park directorates' databases

http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

5.3 Additional information

Despite the uncertain population trend, the distribution can be regarded as stable in the short-term trend. The apparent change is due to better

knowledge and coverage with surveys.

Compared with the maps published by Haraszthy (1984, 1998), the distribution seems to have increased, but in light of the significant long-term population decline, the estimate made in 2013 for a strong decrease in distribution is also maintained here.

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? No

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 6

6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?	No plan (NA) No
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)	()
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)6.6 Sources of further Information	()

7. Main pressures and threats		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Burning for forestry (B13)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) (F05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Abandonment of grassland management (e.g. cessation of grazing or mowing) (A06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Burning for forestry (B13)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Construction or modification (e.g. of housing and settlements) in existing urban or recreational areas (F02)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Creation or development of sports, tourism and leisure infrastructure (outside the urban or recreational areas) (F05)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Other human intrusions and disturbance not mentioned above (H08)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)

2020. május 22. Page 4 of 6

7.2 Sources of information

Haraszthy, L. (szerk.) (1998): Magyarország madarai. Mezőgazda Kiadó Budapest. 249-250 p.

7.3 Additional information

O Maii	Conc	ar ation	Magguras
O. IVIdII	I CONS	ervation	Measures

8.1 Status of measuresMeasures identified and taken

8.2 Main purpose of the measures takenRestore the habitat of the species

8.3 Location of the measuresBoth inside and outside Natura 2000

8.4 Response to the measuresLong-term results (after 2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

CA03 - Maintain existing extensive agricultural practices and agricultural landscape features

CB05 - Adapt/change forest management and exploitation practices

CF02 - Habitat restoration of areas impacted by residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure, operations and activities

CF12 - Other measures related to residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructures, operations and activities

CH03 - Reduce impact of other specific human actions

CL04 - Other measures related to natural processes

8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

a) Unit number of pairs (p)

b) Minimum 600 c) Maximum 900

d) Best single value

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

Best estimate

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

Stable (0)

Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

The SPA coverage of the population was estimated based on the number of 2.5x2.5 km grids where the species was observed compared to the subset of grids where the species was observed and which are also covered at least 50% by SPAs. This ratio was then applied to the national population estimate.

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 6

2020. május 22. Page 6 of 6

A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

