1. Species information

1.1 Member State Hungary
1.2 Species code A855
1.3 EURING code 1790

1.4 Species scientific name Mareca penelope

1.5 Subspecific population

1.6 Alternative species scientific name

1.7 Common name fütyülő réce 1.8 Season fütyülő réce Passage (P)

2. Population size

2.1 Year or period 2015-2018

2.2 Population size a) Unit number of individuals (i)

b) Minimum 8000c) Maximum 10000

d) Best single value

2.3 Type of estimate Best estimate

2.4 Population size Method used Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data

2.5 Sources Expert opinion

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

2.6 Change and reason for change

(since previous report)

No change

The change is mainly due to:

2.7 Additional information

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2015-2018: 2000-3000. I considered only months during migration (typically march). This value is very low therefor I corrected the value upwards.

3. Population trend

3.1.5 Sources

3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

3.1.1 Short-term trend Period 2007-2018

3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction Fluctuating (F)

3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum

b) Maximum

c) Best single value

3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

2020. május 22. Page 1 of 5

3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 1996-2018 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction Decreasing (-) 3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude a) Minimum 33 56 b) Maximum c) Best single value

3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used

3.3 Additional information

3.2.5 Sources

Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

Expert opinions

Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai

Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p

Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

In the short-term trend, I checked the Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values between 2007 and 2018. I considered only months during

migration. The values are strongly fluctuating.

Long-term trend is decreasing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the baseline was 1996 (4505), to what the current Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database values (2000-3000) were compared to. I considered only spring and autumn months.

4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species No 4.2 Year or period 4.3 Breading distribution map No 4.4 Breading distribution surface area

4.5 Breading distribution Method used

4.6 Additional maps

4.7 Sources

4.8 Additional information

No

5. Breeding range trend

5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)

5.1.1 Short-term trend Period

5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction

5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used

5.1.5 Sources

5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

a) Minimum

2020. május 22. Page 2 of 5

- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used

5.2.5 Sources

5.3 Additional information

6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related No to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species? 6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the No intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted? 6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS 6.4 Assessment of the effectivess () of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans) 6.5 Assessment of the effectivess () of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans) 6.6 Sources of further Information

No plan (NA)

7. Main pressures and threats a) Pressure b) Ranking c) location Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31) inside the Member State (inMS) M Hunting (G07) Н inside the Member State (inMS) Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, inside the Member State (inMS) M pathogens) (L06) Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change Н inside the Member State (inMS) (N02)

2020. május 22. Page 3 of 5

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Drainage for use as agricultural land (A31)	M	inside the Member State (inMS)
Hunting (G07)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)
Interspecific relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens) (L06)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (NO2)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

7.2 Sources of information

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures

8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Expand the current distribution of the species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

8.5 List of main conservation measures

- CA15 Manage drainage and irrigation operations and infrastructures in agriculture
- CG02 Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants
- CG04 Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting
- CL04 Other measures related to natural processes
- CN01 Adopt climate change mitigation measures
- 8.6 Additional information

9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

- a) Unit
- number of individuals (i)
- b) Minimumc) Maximum
- d) Best single value

- 9.2 Type of estimate
- 9.3 Population size inside the network Method used
- 9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction
- 9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used
- 9.6 Additional information

2020. május 22. Page 4 of 5

10. Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

10.0 Is/Will the information related to Annex II species (section 10) be provided forthe other season for this species?

10.1 Is the species nationally hunted?

No

No

10.2 Hunting bag

a) Unit

b) Statistics/ quantity taken

Min.

(raw, i.e. not rounded

Max.

(raw, i.e. not rounded

Unknown

10.3 Hunting bagMethod used

10.4 Additional information

number of individuals (i)

Provide statistics per hunting season or per year (where season is not used) over the reporting period.

Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/	Season/
Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 6
No	No	No	No	No	No

2020. május 22. Page 5 of 5