1 Creasing information					
1. Species information					
1.1 Member State	Hungary				
1.2 Species code 1.3 EURING code	A070 2230				
1.4 Species scientific name	Mergus merganser				
1.5 Subspecific population					
1.6 Alternative species scientific name					
1.7 Common name	nagy bukó				
1.8 Season	Winter (W)				
2. Population size					
2.1 Year or period	2015-2018				
2.2 Population size	a) Unit	number of individuals (i)			
	b) Minimum	1200			
	c) Maximum	1500			
	d) Best single value				
2.3 Type of estimate	Best estimate				
2.4 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data				
2.5 Sources	Expert opinions Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem				
	Kiadó, 304 p.	gyar vizivau koziemenyek no. 23. Soprom Egyetem			
		vl Monitoring database			
2.6 Change and reason for change	No change				
(since previous report)	The change is mainly	due to:			
	The change is mainly				
2.7 Additional information	Hungarian Waterfov	VI Monitoring database 2015-2018: 500-1000. I considered			
		the January data. Considering that many parts of Danube river where the			
	species wintered are not covered by this program, I corrected the value				
	•	compared to wintering smews, therefore I raised the y from the previous results.			
3. Population trend		· ·			
3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)					
3.1.1 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018				
3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction 3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	Increasing (+)	260			
Sizio Short term trend Magintude	a) Minimum b) Maximum	619			
	c) Best single value	013			
3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used		a statistically robust estimate			
3.1.5 Sources	Expert opinions	. ,			
	Faragó S. (2017): Ma Kiadó, 304 p	agyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetem			

Kiadó, 304 p.

Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)					
3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period 3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	1996-2018 Increasing (+)				
3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum	279			
	b) Maximum	658			
	c) Best single value				
3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used	Complete survey or a s	tatistically robust estimate			
3.2.5 Sources	Expert opinions				
	Faragó S. (2006): A vonuló vízivad populációk fenntartásának alapjai				
	Magyarországon. Doktori Értekezés. Mellékletek, 305 p.				
	Faragó S. (2017): Magyar Vízivad Közlemények No. 29. Soproni Egyetemi Kiadó, 304 p.				
	Hungarian Waterfowl	Nonitoring database			
	National Park Directora	ites' databases			
3.3 Additional information	2018. I considered only database 2015-2018: 5 continuous and slight in merganser wintered in the current Hungarian were compared to.	ed on Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring database 2007- the January data. Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring 00-1000. Between 2007 and 2018 there is a ncrease. The baseline was 2007, when 139 common the country. This value (139) was the baseline, to what Waterfowl Monitoring database values (500-1000)			
	was 1996 (132), to wha	easing. According to Faragó's study (2016) the baseline at the current Hungarian Waterfowl Monitoring 000) were compared to.			

## 4. Breeding distribution map and size

1 Sensitive species	Ν
2 Year or period	
3 Breading distribution map	
4 Breading distribution	
irface area	
5 Breading distribution Method used	L
6 Additional maps	
7 Sources	
8 Additional information	
. Breeding range trend	
1 Short-term trend (last 12 years	)
1.1 Short-term trend Period	
1.2 Short-term trend Direction	

- a) Minimum b) Maximum
- c) Best single value
- 5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used 5.1.5 Sources

#### 5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)

5.2.1 Long-term trend Period

5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction

5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude

- a) Minimum
- b) Maximum
- c) Best single value

5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used5.2.5 Sources5.3 Additional information

### 6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?	No
6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?	No plan (NA) No
<ul> <li>6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS</li> <li>6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)</li> </ul>	()
<ul> <li>6.5 Assessment of the effectivess</li> <li>of MPs for huntable species in</li> <li>non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7,</li> <li>Management Plans)</li> <li>6.6 Sources of further Information</li> </ul>	()

### 7. Main pressures and threats

a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Hunting (G07)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Hunting (G07)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Physical alteration of water bodies (K05)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Н	inside the Member State (inMS)

#### **7.2 Sources of information**

7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures	
8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current distribution, population and/or habitat for the
	species
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-
	2030)

#### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

CG02 - Management of hunting, recreational fishing and recreational or commercial harvesting or collection of plants

CJ02 - Reduce impact of multi-purpose hydrological changes

#### CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

8.6 Additional information

### 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage

9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 (SPA) network

- a) Unit
  - b) Minimum
  - c) Maximum
- d) Best single value

number of individuals (i)

9.2 Type of estimate

9.3 Population size inside the network Method used

9.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network Direction

9.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network Method used

9.6 Additional information

### **10.** Information related to Annex II species (Art.7)

2020. május 21.

. .

No

Annex II species (section 10) b forthe other season for this sp	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
10.1 Is the species nationally	hunted?	No					
10.2 Hunting bag	number of individuals (i)						
	b) Statistics/ quantity taken	Provide statistics per hunting season or per year ( where season is not used) over the reporting period.					
		Season/ Year 1	Season/ Year 2	Season/ Year 3	Season/ Year 4	Season/ Year 5	Season/ Year 6
	Min. (raw, i.e. not rounded						
	<b>Max.</b> (raw, i.e. not rounded						
	Unknown	No	No	No	No	No	No
10.3 Hunting bagMethod used	k						

**10.4 Additional information** 

**10.0 Is/Will the information related to**