1.1 Member State       Hungary         1.2 Species code       A261         1.3 SURING code       10190         1.4 Species scientific name       Motacilla cinerea         1.5 Subspecific population       Breeding (8)         2.6 Champe and reason name       2014-2018         1.8 Geason       a) Unit       number of pairs (p)         b) Minimum       300         c) Maximum       600         d) Best single value       Best estimate         2.7 Sources       Best estimate         2.8 Sources       Best estimate         2.5 Sources       Improved knowledge/more accurate data         (ince previous report)       Use of different method         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-0001 project in 2017-2018, S30 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding birds, source estimated for the 530 grids.         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-0001 project in 2017-2018, S30 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids.         3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Method used       Stable (0)         3.1.3	1. Species information	
1.8 Season       Breeding (B)         2. Population size       2014-2018         2.1 Year or period       2014-2018         2.2 Population size       a) Unit       number of pairs (p)         b) Minimum       300         c) Maximum       600         d) Best single value       Best estimate         2.3 Type of estimate       Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data         2.4 Population size Method used       Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data         2.5 Sources       KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.         National park directorates' databases       http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2         2.6 Change and reason for change       Improved knowledge/more accurate data         Use of different method       The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x.25 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 611 pairs can be calculated for the national population.         3. Population trend       2007-2018         3.1.1 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum <t< td=""><td><ul><li>1.2 Species code</li><li>1.3 EURING code</li><li>1.4 Species scientific name</li><li>1.5 Subspecific population</li><li>1.6 Alternative species scientific name</li></ul></td><td>A261 10190</td></t<>	<ul><li>1.2 Species code</li><li>1.3 EURING code</li><li>1.4 Species scientific name</li><li>1.5 Subspecific population</li><li>1.6 Alternative species scientific name</li></ul>	A261 10190
2.1 Year or period       2014-2018         2.2 Population size       a) Unit       number of pairs (p)         b) Minimum       300         c) Maximum       600         d) Best single value       Best estimate         2.3 Type of estimate       Best estimate         2.4 Population size Method used       Best estimate         2.5 Sources       Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data         XENDP -4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.       National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2         2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)       Improved knowledge/more accurate data         Use of different method       The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, S30 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids.         3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)       3.1.1 Short-term trend Period         3.1.2 Short-term trend Period       2007-2018         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinon with very limited data         http://www.ter		Breeding (B)
2.2 Population size a) Unit number of pairs (p) b) Minimum 300 c) Maximum 600 d) Best single value 2.3 Type of estimate 2.3 Type of estimate 2.3 Population size Method used 2.5 Sources Hethod used 2.5 Sources CECCONDUCTION ENDIFY OF CONDUCTION ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY ENDITIES ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY ENDIFY OF CONDUCTIONED ENDIFY OF CONDUC	2. Population size	
2.4 Population size Method used       Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data         2.5 Sources       KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished.         National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2         2.6 Change and reason for change (since previous report)       Improved knowledge/more accurate data         Use of different method       The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids.         3. Population trend       2007-2018         3.1.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)       Stable (0)         3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value         Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf		a) Unit number of pairs (p) b) Minimum 300 c) Maximum 600
(since previous report)       Use of different method         The change is mainly due to:       Improved knowledge/more accurate data         2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids.         3.0 Construction       As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 611 pairs can be calculated for the national population.         3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)       2007-2018         3.1.1 Short-term trend Period       2007-2018         3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data         http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jelentes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf	2.4 Population size Method used	Based mainly on extrapolation from a limited amount of data KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished. National park directorates' databases
2.7 Additional information       New method: Under the KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project in 2017-2018, 530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids. As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 611 pairs can be calculated for the national population.         3. Population trend       2007-2018         3.1.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)       2007-2018         3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf		
530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids. As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative of the country, 611 pairs can be calculated for the national population. <b>3. Population trend</b> 2007-2018 <b>3.1.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)</b> 2007-2018 <b>3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction</b> <b>3.1.3 Short-term trend Direction</b> <b>3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude</b> Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value <b>3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used</b> <b>3.1.5 Sources</b> Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf		The change is mainly due to: Improved knowledge/more accurate data
3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)         3.1.1 Short-term trend Period       2007-2018         3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data         http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel       entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf	2.7 Additional information	530 2.5x2.5 km2 grids were surveyed for a given set of breeding bird species, covering 3.6% of the country. 22 breeding pairs of Motacilla cinerea were estimated for the 530 grids. As the habitat distribution in the 530 grids is considered to be representative
3.1.1 Short-term trend Period       2007-2018         3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data         http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel       entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf	3. Population trend	
3.1.2 Short-term trend Direction       Stable (0)         3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data         http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel       entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf	3.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	
3.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude       a) Minimum         b) Maximum       c) Best single value         3.1.4 Short-term trend Method used       Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data         http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel       entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf	3.1.1 Short-term trend Period	2007-2018
3.1.5 Sources       http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel         entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf		a) Minimum b) Maximum
		http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf

National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2

3.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)	
<ul><li>3.2.1 Long-tern trend Period</li><li>3.2.2 Long-term trend Direction</li><li>3.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude</li></ul>	1980-2018 Stable (0) a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
<ul><li>3.2.4 Long-term Trend Method used</li><li>3.2.5 Sources</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data</li> <li>Haraszthy L. (szerk.) (1984): Magyarország fészkelő madarai. Natura,</li> <li>Budapest. 208-209 p.</li> <li>Magyar G., Hadarics T., Waliczky Z., Schmidt A., Nagy T. &amp; Bankovics A. (1998):</li> <li>Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Madártani Intézet, Budapest, 99-100 p.</li> </ul>
	BirdLife International (2004) Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. (BirdLife Conservation Series No.12.), 194 p. MME Nomenclator Bizottság (2008): Magyarország madarainak névjegyzéke. Nomenclator avium Hungariae. Magyar Madártani és Természetvédelmi Egyesület, Budapest. p. 170. KEHOP-4.3.0-15-2016-00001 project results, unpublished. National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
3.3 Additional information	Haraszthy (1984) estimated the national population at 100-150 pairs. This is now considered to be an underestimate and the improved knowledge explains the increase in numbers.

#### 4. Breeding distribution map and size

4.1 Sensitive species	No
4.2 Year or period	2014-2018
4.3 Breading distribution map	Yes
4.4 Breading distribution	7873
surface area	
4.5 Breading distribution Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
4.6 Additional maps	No
4.7 Sources	National park directorates' databases
	http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
4.8 Additional information	
5. Breeding range trend	
5. Dieeung range tiend	
5.1 Short-term trend (last 12 years)	

J.I JIOI C-term trend (last 12 years	>/
5.1.1 Short-term trend Period 5.1.2 Short-term trend Direction	2007-2018 Stable (0)
5.1.3 Short-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum
	b) Maximum

5.1.4 Short-term trend Method used 5.1.5 Sources	<ul> <li>c) Best single value</li> <li>Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data</li> <li>http://www.termeszetvedelem.hu/_user/browser/File/Natura2000/BD_12_jel</li> <li>entes_2013_anyagai/Motacilla_cinerea.pdf</li> <li>National park directorates' databases</li> <li>http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2</li> </ul>
5.2 Long-term trend (since c. 1980)	
5.2.1 Long-term trend Period 5.2.2 Long-term trend Direction	1980-2018 Unknown (X)
5.2.3 Long-term trend Magnitude	a) Minimum b) Maximum c) Best single value
5.2.4 Long-term trend Method used	Insufficient or no data available
5.2.5 Sources	National park directorates' databases http://map.mme.hu/maps/map2
5.3 Additional information	The distribution increase compared to the 2013 report is not considered genuine, but due to improved knowledge. For the long-term trend, there is not enough data on the distribution.

#### 6. Progress in work related to international Species Action Plans (SAPs), Management Plans (MPs) and Brief Management Statements (BMSs)

<u> </u>	
6.0 Is/Will the information related to international SAPs, MPs and BMSs (section 6) be provided for the other season for this species?	No
6.1 Type of international plan 6.2 Has a national plan linked to the	No plan (NA) No
intarnational SAP/MP/BMS been adopted?	
6.3 If 'NO', describe any measures and initiatives taken related to the international SAP/MP/BMS	
6.4 Assessment of the effectivess of SAPs for globally threatened species (Art. 12, Species Action Plans)	()
6.5 Assessment of the effectivess of MPs for huntable species in non-Secure status (Articles 3 and 7, Management Plans)	()
6.6 Sources of further Information	

#### **7.** Main pressures and threats

	h) Develation	
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) location
Conversion to other types of forests including monocultures (B02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Use of plant protection chemicals in forestry (B20)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
a) Threat	d) Ranking	e) location
Conversion to other types of forests including monocultures (B02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Use of plant protection chemicals in forestry (B20)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Forestry activities generating pollution to surface or ground waters (B23)	М	inside the Member State (inMS)
Discharge of urban waste water (excluding storm overflows and/or urban run-offs) generating pollution to surface or ground water (F12)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)
Droughts and decreases in precipitation due to climate change (N02)	Μ	inside the Member State (inMS)

- 7.2 Sources of information
- 7.3 Additional information

8. Main Conservation Measures	
8.1 Status of measures	Measures identified and taken
8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Increase the population size and/or improve population dynamics (improve reproduction success, reduce mortality, improve age/sex structure)
8.3 Location of the measures	Both inside and outside Natura 2000
8.4 Response to the measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2019-2030)

#### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

CB01 - Prevent conversion of (semi-) natural habitats into forests and of (semi-)natural forests into intensive forest plantation

CB04 - Adapt/manage reforestation and forest regeneration

CB09 - Manage the use of chemicals for fertilisation, liming and pest control in forestry

CF04 - Reduce/eliminate point source pollution to surface or ground waters from industrial, commercial, residential and recreational areas and activities

CN01 - Adopt climate change mitigation measures

CS03 - Improvement of habitat of species from the directives

8.6 Additional information

#### 9. Natura 2000 (SPAs) coverage 9.1 Population size inside the Natura 2000 a) Unit number of pairs (p) (SPA) network b) Minimum 350 c) Maximum 420 d) Best single value 9.2 Type of estimate Best estimate 9.3 Population size inside the network Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data Method used 9.4 Short-term trend of population size within Stable (0) the network Direction 9.5 Short-term trend of population size within Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data the network Method used 9.6 Additional information

# A madárvédelmi irányelv 12. cikke alapján készített országjelentés 2019.

